

THE LIBERAL CAMPAIGN.

A MODERN CINCINNATUS.

Greeley at Chappaqua—Grand Social Gathering on the Farm.

CHAPPAQUA, N. Y., July 13.

The assemblage of the Democratic committee and others on the farm of Hon. Horace Greeley to-day was merely a social gathering or picnic. Greeley conducted the various delegates over his farm in the forenoon and described all its peculiarities. A bountiful dinner was served at one o'clock, after which Greeley addressed the meeting, consisting of about five hundred people. He said it was a social gathering, having no other purpose than congregating for pleasure. There were friends from home, from far and near, and he wished they would frequently visit him at his farm. The speaker then described his sojourn at Chappaqua for the last twenty years, and said that in spite of what the public at large might think of his farm, he could inform them that he had lost nothing; it was true he spent some money; so had he lent some, and the latter had gone "where the woodbine twined;" whereas, the money he spent on his farm was to some good. At all events, he knew where it was. His present property was bought at the request of his wife, and he meant to live and die on it. Ex-Senator Grier, Judge Began, and other gentlemen also made speeches, prophesying the election of Greeley.

The Liberals Organizing.

New York, July 13.

The National Liberal Republican committee met yesterday and elected Ethan Allen permanent chairman, whereupon he delivered an address. The following executive committee was appointed by the chair: Charles G. Davis, of Massachusetts; J. P. Ladd, of Ohio; S. A. Pearce, of South Carolina; B. G. Burbridge, of Kentucky; H. C. Warmouth, of Louisiana; M. C. C. Church, of West Virginia; George W. Anderson, of Missouri, and James M. Scoville, of New Jersey. Ethan Allen was made chairman of the executive committee; D. R. Godlove, of North Carolina, and Jasper W. Johnson, of Oregon, secretaries.

Resolutions were passed recommending the union of the Liberal Republicans with the Democrats in selecting candidates for State and congressional offices.

Mr. Schurz and Grant were present. The committee visited Chappaqua to-day.

THE CAMPAIGN IN THE CAPITAL.

Position of Senators Schurz, Stockton and Sumner—Grant and Wilson in Georgia—North Carolina the First Battle-ground—Pennsylvania, &c.

The Washington correspondent of the Baltimore Sun writes on Friday last:

A convention of the leading delegates to the Baltimore Convention met at the residence of Senator Schurz occurred here to-day, at which the latter gave his enthusiastic adhesion to Greeley and Brown and announced his intention of entering at once upon the campaign. He left to-night for St. Louis via New York and will make his first speech in the former city after that he will make a tour in the South and will speak in the pending campaign in North Carolina. Later in the summer he will speak in Pennsylvania and New York.

Senator Stockton, of New Jersey, who opposed to the last the nomination of Greeley at Baltimore, to-day yielded his opposition and to-night left for North Carolina to speak at a great conservative meeting. Senator Sumner, of Massachusetts, who was in this city yesterday, declared that it would take a great deal of hard work and considerable money to carry Pennsylvania for Grant. It appears that the administration party is thoroughly alarmed over the situation in that State, and greatly fear that if some change is not made in the ticket, or more active work commenced, that Buckalew will be elected Governor. On the other hand, while the Republicans are divided, the Democrats are not only solidly united, but enthusiastic over the strongest State ticket ever presented from that party in Pennsylvania. It is said that the administration party is desirous of reconciling the disaffection among the Republicans, the result of which remains to be seen.

North Carolina is to be the first battle-ground in the Presidential campaign. The administration party in that State this week from Thursday next. As has been stated, the administration is sending speakers and money into the State, and intends to leave nothing undone to carry the day. On the other hand, according to present advices, will have the most brilliant and largest array in the State. The Democratic majority in the last State election in North Carolina was 421, cast in 1870, but in the present campaign a large majority against a State Convention, called by the Democrats, on which the Republicans are boasting that they can carry the State.

District Attorney Farrow, of Georgia, is in the city, ostensibly on business with the attorney-general's office, but really, it is supposed, to do what can be done to aid the cause of Grant and Wilson in his State. He is free to admit that the result in Georgia is very doubtful. He is, however, confident that the aid that the administration can give him. There has been success in drawing money from the treasury for election purposes in North Carolina that the Georgia Republicans would like to have the experiment repeated for their State.

WASHINGTON, July 14.

The Democratic and Republican committees were again in joint conference yesterday, perfecting their arrangements for circulating documents and providing speakers for the campaign.

SUMNER FOR GREELEY.

St. Louis, July 14.

The St. Louis Times to-morrow will publish the following letter from Charles Sumner, addressed to the Democrats of this city:

"I think, on reflection, you will not think it advisable for me to write a public letter on a matter to which you call attention. Greeley and myself have been fellow-laborers in many ways. We were born in the same year, and we have been very much together. Between him and another person, who shall be nameless, I am not personally."

THE POLITICAL NOTABLES.

LONG BRANCH, July 13.

Senator Wilson left St. A. M. for North Carolina. He will speak in the principal cities and towns of that State during the present campaign. Senator Morton started to-day for his home in Indiana. President Grant leaves for Washington Monday evening, to attend to official business. A large crowd assembled at one o'clock on the morning of the 14th, to see Horace Greeley, but were disappointed, Greeley having postponed his visit until next week.

O'CONNOR'S SPEECH.

What the New York Papers Say of It.

The New York papers speak highly of the speech of the Hon. M. P. O'Connor. The Mr. O'Connor made a flaming speech, full of fire and eloquence, in favor of the Cincinnati platform and nominees.

He took the question on all sides so thoroughly that the convention yielded again.

THE PRESS ON THE CANVASS

GREELEY AGAINST GRANT.

What the Leading Newspapers Say of the Action of the Baltimore Convention.

The Tribune says:

Mr. O'Connor, having straightened out every point on which opposition had arisen, closed with a most pathetic appeal to the convention not to stop at mere words, but to lay aside all differences of opinion and come to the rescue of the down-trodden and afflicted South. Strong and earnest as Senator Bayard was, had there been any considerable division in the convention, it would have disappeared in this remarkably eloquent and effective reply.

The Herald says:

A South Carolina Irishman, by the name of O'Connor, was at once recognized by Doollittle, and he came forward and took the stand for about twenty minutes, and made a speech such as members of the Cincinnati Convention would have had the luck to do. He said, relative to the blacks in the State from which he came, that nobody was anxious to take their hard-earned and hard-won ballot. He said, in these sentences, to the surprise of the whole convention, the galleries rose up and gave one of the loudest yells yet raised in the Presidential nomination. O'Connor is a stout, prompt and forcible debater, full of action, and he made one of the great successes of the day.

And the Times (Grant's Own) makes this aptful fling:

O'Connor, of South Carolina, made a stirring appeal for the surrender, in which he managed to show that the Democracy had been totally wrong on every political issue for the last twenty years.

CRACKS FROM GREELEY RIFLES.

—Ex-Senator Doollittle is gone to attend a Democratic meeting at Raleigh.

—Every Democratic journal on the Pacific coast has accepted the Baltimore ticket.

—The executive committee of the Labor Reformers at San Francisco has declared for Greeley and Brown.

—The Democrats and Liberal Republicans of Knoxville will rally the nominations of Greeley and Brown this evening.

—The Brooklyn Times, a Republican organ, in the eastern district of that city, supports Greeley.

—Senator Schurz is to speak at several points in North Carolina before the first of August.

—There is talk in New York of making Sanford E. Church the coalition candidate for Governor. The Sun hints his name, and Greeley is said to favor him.

—The Greeley nomination occupied the greater part of the clubs and crowds throughout the city Wednesday night. Greeley was repeatedly cheered when recognized at the Gardner Republican Band concert at the rink.

—Senator Bayard, of Delaware, called for Europe on Saturday. He has addressed a letter to his constituents advising them to support the Baltimore nominees, and giving his reasons therefor and in explanation of the opposite course he took in the Baltimore Convention.

—Saunders, the colored Grant elector who resigned in Maryland and joined the Greeley ticket, and White, a colored lawyer, and Dr. Augustus, another colored lawyer, in Washington, are about to go to North Carolina to address the colored people in favor of Greeley and Brown.

—After the adjournment of the convention a movement was set on foot in Baltimore to have a meeting of the colored people in Washington, for the purpose of electing a delegate to the Baltimore Convention.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

THE PRESS ON THE CANVASS

GREELEY AGAINST GRANT.

What the Leading Newspapers Say of the Action of the Baltimore Convention.

The Tribune says:

Mr. O'Connor, having straightened out every point on which opposition had arisen, closed with a most pathetic appeal to the convention not to stop at mere words, but to lay aside all differences of opinion and come to the rescue of the down-trodden and afflicted South. Strong and earnest as Senator Bayard was, had there been any considerable division in the convention, it would have disappeared in this remarkably eloquent and effective reply.

The Herald says:

A South Carolina Irishman, by the name of O'Connor, was at once recognized by Doollittle, and he came forward and took the stand for about twenty minutes, and made a speech such as members of the Cincinnati Convention would have had the luck to do. He said, relative to the blacks in the State from which he came, that nobody was anxious to take their hard-earned and hard-won ballot. He said, in these sentences, to the surprise of the whole convention, the galleries rose up and gave one of the loudest yells yet raised in the Presidential nomination. O'Connor is a stout, prompt and forcible debater, full of action, and he made one of the great successes of the day.

And the Times (Grant's Own) makes this aptful fling:

O'Connor, of South Carolina, made a stirring appeal for the surrender, in which he managed to show that the Democracy had been totally wrong on every political issue for the last twenty years.

CRACKS FROM GREELEY RIFLES.

—Ex-Senator Doollittle is gone to attend a Democratic meeting at Raleigh.

—Every Democratic journal on the Pacific coast has accepted the Baltimore ticket.

—The executive committee of the Labor Reformers at San Francisco has declared for Greeley and Brown.

—The Democrats and Liberal Republicans of Knoxville will rally the nominations of Greeley and Brown this evening.

—The Brooklyn Times, a Republican organ, in the eastern district of that city, supports Greeley.

—Senator Schurz is to speak at several points in North Carolina before the first of August.

—There is talk in New York of making Sanford E. Church the coalition candidate for Governor. The Sun hints his name, and Greeley is said to favor him.

—The Greeley nomination occupied the greater part of the clubs and crowds throughout the city Wednesday night. Greeley was repeatedly cheered when recognized at the Gardner Republican Band concert at the rink.

—Senator Bayard, of Delaware, called for Europe on Saturday. He has addressed a letter to his constituents advising them to support the Baltimore nominees, and giving his reasons therefor and in explanation of the opposite course he took in the Baltimore Convention.

—Saunders, the colored Grant elector who resigned in Maryland and joined the Greeley ticket, and White, a colored lawyer, and Dr. Augustus, another colored lawyer, in Washington, are about to go to North Carolina to address the colored people in favor of Greeley and Brown.

—After the adjournment of the convention a movement was set on foot in Baltimore to have a meeting of the colored people in Washington, for the purpose of electing a delegate to the Baltimore Convention.

—The Baltimore Convention, in formally renominating Greeley and Brown, and readopting the Cincinnati platform, has done so from the strict Missouri policy, but the policy which has received so many endorsements of its substantial points, and achieved so many successes, can well afford to acquiesce in this Democratic ticket.

—The Baltimore Convention, in