

POLITICAL. VETO MESSAGE OF THE PRESIDENT.

The following is the veto of the Second Supplementary Military bill, which has since been passed by Gongress, the President's ob gections to the contrary notwithstanding : To the House of Representatives of United States :

I return herewith the bill entitled "An net supplementary to an act entitled An act to provide for the more efficient government of the rebel States," " presed on the 2nd day of passed on the 2nd day of March, 1867, and theact supplementary thereto passed on the 28d day of March, 1867, and will state as briefly as possible some of the reasons which prevent me from giving it my

approval. This is one of a series of measures passed by Congress during the last four months on I the subject of reconstruction The message returning the act of the 2nd of March last states at length my objections to the passage of that measure. They apply equally well to the bill now before me, and I am content duty. merely to refer to them, and to reiterate my conviction that they are sound and unanswer able.

PECULIAR FOINTS OF THE BILL.

The first section proposes to declare " the lars, of the two prior acts upon this subject, army; he is still subject to the rules and regwas : First, that the existing governments in | deference, respect and obedience towards his the ten "rebel States when not legal State superiors. The clear intent of this section governments," and second. "that thereafter is, that the officer or soldier detailed to fill a continued subject in all respects to the Mili. to the laws of the States. tary Commanders of the respective districts, If he is appointed a Governor of a State and to the paramount authority of Congress."

ties. As these relations stood before the dee-laratory net, these, "governments," it is true, were made sulject to absolute military authority in many important respects, but not in all, the language of the ot being "subject to the military anthonity of the United is that provided for in the ninth section, b Stars, as hereingther provided." By the the terms of which every one detailed is "t ments were made by ... in all respects, subject to the paraments' anthority of the United States.

Now, by this declaratory act it appears that Congress did not, by the original act, intend to limit the military authority to any particulars or subjects therein " prescribed,", but the same oath which he had already taken as meant to make it universal. Thus, over all a military officer of the United States. He these ten States this military government is is, at least, a military officer performing civil

here attempted to be conferred on a subordinate military officer. To him, as a military flicer of the Federal Government, is given the power, supported by "a sufficient militay force," to remove every civil officer of the State, What next? The division commander who has thus deposed a civil officer is to fill the vacancy by the detail of an officer or soldier of the army, or by the appointment of "some other person."

MILITARY APPOINTEES.

This military appointee, whether an officer soldier, or "some other person," is to perform the duties of such officer or person so suspended or removed. In other words, an officer or soldier of the army is thus transformed into a civil officer. He may be made a governor, a legislator, or a judge. However unfit he may deem himself for such civil dutics, he must obey the order. The officer of the army must, if "detailed," go upon the Supreme Bench of the State, with the same prompt obedience as if he were detailed to go upon a court-martial. The soldier, if detail ed to act as a justice of the peace, must obey as quickly as if he were detailed for picket

What is the character of such a military civil officer? This bill declares that he shall perform the duties of the civil office to which he is detailed. It is clear, however that he does not lose his position in the military sertrue intent and meaning," in some particu- vice. He is still an officer or soldier of the . It is declared th come intent of those acts what ons which govern it, and must yield due said governments, if continued, were to be civil office must execute his duties according

he is to execute the duties as provided by the Congress may, by a declaratory act, fix up- laws of that State, and for the time being his on a prior set a construction altogether at va- military character is to be suspended in his riance with its apparent meaning; and from new civil capacity. If he is appointed a the time at least when 'such construction is State Treesurer he must at once assume the fixed the original act will be construed to mean ensteady and disbursement of the funds of the exactly what it is stated to mean by the deal State, and must perform these duties preciseelartory statue. There will be, then, from the ly according to the laws of the State; for he time this bill may become a law, no don't- is entrusted with no other official duty or othno question -as to the relation in which the er official power. Holding the office of t. as " existing governments in these States, called | urer and entrasted with funds, it happens that in the original act " the provisional govern. The is required by the State laws to enter into stand to varies the military authori- bond with security, and to take an orth of office, yet from the beginning of the bill to the of office, is no provision for any bond or oath quired under the State law, such as residence, citizenship, or anything else. The only oath is that provided for in the ninth section, by ixth section of the original set these givernal take and subscribe the oath of office prescribed by haw for officers of the United States.'

Thus an officer of the United States, de talled to fill a civil office in one of these States, gives no official bond and takes no official oath for the performance of his new duties as a civil officer of the State-only takes now declared to have unlimited authority - | duties, and the authority under which he acts are districted not as "Territories," but as It is no longer confined to the preservation of is Federal authority only; and the inevitable "States."

so-called States," and the voice of illegality if is a new title acquired by war. It applies s declared to pervade all of them. The of only to territory ; for goods or moveable things ligations of consistency bind the legislative regularly captured in war are called " booty, body as well as the individuals who compose It is now too late to say that these ten

political communities are not States, of this Union. Declarations to the contrary, made in these

three acts, are contradicted again and again by the repeated acts of legislation enacted by Congress from the year 1861 to the year 1867. During that period whilst those States were in active rebellion, and after that rebellion was brought to a close they have been again and again recognized as States of the Union. Representation has been apportioned to them as States. They have been divided into judicial districts for the holding of District and Circuit Courts of the United States, as States, of the Union only can be distributed.

The last ret on this subject was passed July 23, 1866, by which every one of these ten States was arranged into districts and circuits. They have been called upon by Congress to act through their legislatures upon at least two amendments to the Constitution of the United States. As States they have ratified one imendment, which required the vote of twenty seven States of the thirty-six then composing the Union.

When the requisite twenty-seven votes were given in favor of that amendment-seven of which votes were given by seven of these ten States-it was proclaimed to be a a part of the Constitution of the United, States, and slavery was declared not longer direct fixes and its internal revenue upon the to exist in the United States or any place subject to their jurisdiction. If these seven States were not legal States of the Union, in follows as the inevitable consequence that in some of the States slavery yet exists - It does not exist in these seven States, for they have abolished it also in their own State Constitutions ; but Kentucky not having done so, it would still remain in that State. But, in truth, if this assumption that these States have no legal State governments be true, then the abolition of slavery by these illegial governments binds no one, for Congress now denies to these States the power to abolish slavry by denying to them the power to elect r legal State Legislature, or to frame a constitution for any purpose, even for such a pur pose, as the abolition of slavery.

As to the other constitutional amendance aving reference to rathing the managed the The consequence is that it has never been proclaimed or undertsood, even by Congress, to be a part of the Constitution of the United States. Senate of the United States has repeatedly given its sunction to the appointment of judges, district attorneys and marshals for every one of these States, and yet, if they are not legal States, not one of these judges is nothorized o hold a court. So, too, both Houses of 'ongress has passed appropriation bills to pay ill these judges, attorneys, and officers, of the United States for exercising their functions in these States. Again, in the machinery of the internal revenue laws, all of these States

or if taken by individual soldiers, " plunder. There is not a foot of land in any of these ten States which the United States holds by conquest, save only such land as did not belong to ether of these States or to any indi-vidual owner. I mean such lands as did be-long to the contended Government called the Confeder sectors. These lands we may claim to hald by conquest. As to all other land or certifory, whether belonging to States or to individuals, the Federal Government has now no more title or right to it than it had before the tebellion. Over our former avesenals.

navy yard, custom-houses, and other Federal property situate in these States, we now hold, not by the title of conquest, but by our old title, aromired by purchase or condemnation for public use with compensation to former owners. We have not conquered these places, but have simply "repossessed" them. If we require more sites for forts, custom Iouses or public use, we must acquire the tithe to them, by purchase or appropriation in the regular mode.

At this moment the United States, in the acquisition of sites for national cometerics in liose States, acquires title in the same way. The Federal Courts sit in courthouses owned or leased by the United States, not in the courthenses of the States. The United States pays each of these States for the use of its jails, chinally, the United States levies its property in those States including the productions of the hands within their territorial limits pot by way of levy and contribution in the character of a conquerer, but in the egular way of taxation, under the same laws which apply to all the other States of the

From first to last, during the rebellion and ince, the title of each of these States to the lands and public buildings owned by them has nevershean, disturbed, and not a foot of it has ever been acquired by the United States even under a title by confiscation, and not a foot of it has ever been taxed under Federal law.

THE PRESIDENTIAL PREROGATIVE.

tonelusion I must respectfully ask the ittention of Congress to the consideration of provision arising under this bill. It gists sanda Military .. Commanders, subject army of the United States, an unli nited power to remove from office any civil or military officer in each of these ten States, and the further power, subject to the same approval, o detail or appoint any military officer or seldier of the United States to perform the duties of the officer so removed, and to fill all encancies occasioned in those States by death, esignation, or otherwise.

The military appointee thus required to perform the duties of a civil office according the laws of the State, and as such required take an oath, is, for the time being, a civil officer. What is his character? Is he a civ-

lation of Congress has attempted to strip tho Executive Department of the government of some of its essential powers. The constitution and the oath provided in it devolve upon the President the power and the duty to see that the laws are faithfully executed. The constitution, in order to carry out this power, gives him the choice of the agents, and makes them subject to his control and supervision. But in the execution of these laws the consti-tutional obligation upon the President remains, but the power to exercise that constitutional duty is effectually taken away.

The Military Commander is, as to the pow er of appointment, made to take the place of the President, and the General of the arm the place of the Senate, and any attempt on the part of the President to assert his own constitutional power may, under pretence of law, be met by official insubordination. It is to be feared that these military officers, look ing to the authority given by these laws, rather than to the letter of the constitution, will recognize no authority but the commander of the district and the General of the army.

If there were no other objection than this to this proposed legislation, it would be sufficient. Whilst I hold the chief executive authority of the United States, whilst the obligation rests upon me to see that all t' e laws are faithfully executed, I can never willingly surrender that trust, or the powers given for its execution.

I can never give my assent to be made responsible for the faithful execution of laws and at the same time surrender that trust and the powers which accompany it to any other executive officer, high or low, or to any number of excentive officers.

THE WRONG AND THE REMEDY.

If this executive trust, vested by the constifution in the President is to be taken from him and vested in a subordinate officer, the exponsibility will be with Congress in clothing the subordinate with unconstitutional power, ud with the officer who assumes its exercise. This interference with the constitutional authority of the Executive Department is an evil that will inevitably sap the foundations of our Federal system ; but it is not the worst evil of this legislation. It is a great public wrong to take from the President powers conferred upon him alone by the constitution, but the wrong is more flagrant and more dangerous when the powers so taken from the Prestive officers, and especially upon minuary officers. Over nearly one third of the States of the Union military power, regulated by no fixed law, rules supreme.

Each one of these five District Commanders, though not chosen by the people or reponsible to them, exercise at this hour more executive power, military and civil, than the people have ever been willing to confer upon the head of the Executive Department though chosen by and responsible to themselves. The remedy must come from the people them-They know what it is, and how it is selves. to be applied. At the present time they candofficer of the State or a civil officer of the not, according to the constitution, repeal

United States? If he is a civil officer of the these laws; they cannot remove or control State, where is the Federal power, under our this military despotism. The remedy, never-

VOL. II.....NO. 45.

The Veto Message.

The "New York Times," in its comments in the President's veto message, says :

The combativeness of the President might be considered anusing, if the interests affected by his championship were not vital in their character. If it were merely a display of dialectics, or a trial of relative degrees of tenaciy, or a contest to determine whether the Presdent or Congress could use the aharpest words, the country might look on with sublime indifference. Whether Congress disposed of Mr. Johnson, or Mr. Johnson bullied Congress into polite behavior, would be an issue deulated to keep alive curiosity," if nothing else. We could afford to watch and wait, confident that, even on that ground, Congress would come off best, but meanwhile applauding the pluck of its indomitable adversary.

Unfortunately, more is at stake than the prowess of the President or the power of Congress. Though Mr. Johnson wages battle in his own name, the people of ten States are the victims of his rushness. Whatever pleasure he may derive from the maintenance of a tone of defiance, on their heads the storm be provokes must eventually fidl. In this re-

speet the South has had, and to this hour has, no worse enemy than the President, who never loses a chance of quarreling in its behalf. At one moment, inspiring them with false hopes, at another, he is the means of inflicting upon them the deepest humiliation. But for Mr. Johnson, the South had not been deceived into the rejection of the constitutional amendment. But for him, there had been to occasion for an extra session, or the legis-lation which forms the subject of his latest

In its matter, the message we print this nothing is a repetition of a thrice-told tale. It is a thread bare argument against the poliey of Congress in regard to reconstruction .---The assumption underlying the whole, that the existing State organizations are illegalthe anomalies and inconsistencies of legislation during and since the war-the unconstitutionality of investing military officers with supreme authority, and of stripping the Excentive of functions with which it was specifically endowed - and lastly, the harshness of the despotism temporarily established over the Southern people; these are the points successively presented, as they have been again and again, from the same source, within this? Of what use is it to appear at every step to a Constitution which has no binding force or efficacy in the exigency which Con-gress is required to meet? What can possibly be gained by a reiteration of an argument which circumstances growing out of the rebellion render inapplicable, or by appeals which the country has pronounced inadmissi-ble? At first, unquestionably, the argument looked, strong; assuming its premises to be correct, its conclusions appeared logical and just. Now, that its novelty has gone, however, the effort is no longer worth the making. As an argument, it is untenable-made so in part by the President's own acts. As an ex-

pression of hostility to Congress, it has proved ineffectual, and now fails to command either attention or respect from the country.

nal law, the registration of voters, and the superintendant of elections, but ." it is asserted to be paramount to the existing civil governments.

ITS EFFECTS.

It is impossible to conceive any state of society more intolerable than this, and yet it is to this condition that twelve in llions of American citizens are reduced by the Congress of the United States. Over every foot of the immonse territory occupied by these Ameriican citizens the Constitution of the United States is theoretically in full operation. It binds all the people there, and should protect them, yet they are denied every one of its sasacred guarantees.

Of what avail will it be to my one of these Southern people, when seized by a file of soldiers, to ask for the cause of arrest, or, for the production of the warrant? Of what avail to ask for the privilege of bail wach in military custody, which knows no such thing as bail? Of what avail to domand a trial by jury. process for witnesses, a copy of the indict ment, the privilege, the writ of habcas cor-

2^{pus.} The veto of the original act of the 2d of March was based on two distinct groundsthe interference of Congress in matters strictly apportaining to the reserved powers of the States, and the establishment of military tribunals for the trial of citizens in times of peace. The impartial reader of that message will understand that all it contains with respeet to military despotism and martial law lins reference especially to the fearful power conferred on the District Commanders to displace the criminal courts and assume jurisdiction to try and to punish by military boards; that potentially, the suspension of habras corpus was martial law and military The act now before me not only despotism. declares that the intent was to confer such wilitary authority, but also to confer unlimited military authority over all the other courts of the States, and over all the offices of the State -legislative, executive and judicial.

Not content with the general grant of pow er, Cougress, in the second section of this bill specially gives to each Military Commander the power "to suspend or remove from office. or from the performance of official duties and or from the performance or official duties and the exercise of official powers, any officer or person holding or exercising, or professing to hold and exercise, any civil or military, office or duty in such district, under any power, election, appointed by or claimed under any so-pailed State or the government thereof, or any municipal or other divisions thereof." for separately, have not dared to exercise, is in this particular bill they are denominated

agency of its own sworn officers, in effect as sumes the civil government of the States.

CONTRADICTIONS IN THE BILL. A singular contradiction is apparent here.

Congress declares these local State governments, and then, provides that these illegal governments shall be carried on by Federal officers, who are to porform the very duties imposed on its own officers by this illegal State anthority. It certainly would be a novel spectacle if Congress should attempt to carry on a legal State government by the agency of its own officers. It is yet more strange that Congress attempts to sustain and carry on an illegal Stite government by the same Federal

In this connection I must call attention to the 10th and 11th sections of the bill, which provide that none of the officers or appointees of these Military Commanders "shall be bound in his action by any opinion of any civofficer of the United States," and that all the provisions of the act "shall be construed literally, to the end that all the intents thereof may be fully and perfectly carried out."

It seems Congress supposed that this bill might require construction, and they fix, therefore, the rule to be applied. But where is the construction to .. come from ? Certainly no one can be more in want of instruction than a soldier or an officer of the army detailed for a civil service with the duties of which, porhaps most important in a State, he is altogether unfamiliar

This bill says he shall not be bound in his action by the opinion of any civil officer of the United States. The duties of the office" are altogether civil; but when he asks for an opinion he can only ask the opinion of another military officer, who, perhaps, understands as little of his dutics as he does himself; and as to his "action," he is answerable to the military authority, and to the military authority alone. Strictly, no opinion of any civil officer, other than a judge, has a binding force. But these military appointees would not be bound even by a judicial opinion. They night very well say, even when their action is in conflict with the Supreme Court of the, United States " that court is composed of divil officers of the United States, and we are not

y municipal or other divisions thereof.". A power that hitherto all the departments legal. Throughout the legislation upon this of the Federal Government, acting in concort subject they are called "rebel States," and FURTHER RECOGNITION

So much for the continuous legislative reeognition. The instances eited, however, fall far short of what might be enumerated.

Executive recognition, as is well known, has been frequent and unwavering. The same may be said as to judicial recognition, through the Supreme Court of the United States. That august tribunal, from first to last, in the administration of its duties in binne at d up in the circuit, has never failed to recegnize these ten communities as legal States of the Union. The cases depending in that court upon appeal and writ of error from these States, when the rebellion began, have not been dismissed upon any idea of the cessation of jurisdiction. They were careful

ly continued from term to term until the relion was entirely suldued and penet re-retablished, and they were called for argument and consideration, as if no insurrection had intervened. New cases, occurring sluce the rebellion, have come from these States before that court by writ of error and appeal; and even by original suit, where only a State can bring such a suit. These cases are cutertained by that tribunal in the exercise of its ac-

knowledged jurisdiction, which could not at tach to them if they had come from any political body other than a State of the Union Finally, in the allotment of their circuits, made by the judges at the December term, 1865, every one of these States is put on the some footing of legality with all the other States of the Union. Virginia and North Carolina, being a part of the fourth circuit, are allotted to the Chief Justice. South Carolina Georgia Alabama, Mississippi and Flori-In, constitute the fifth circuit, and was allotted to the late Mr. Justice Wayne. Louisiana, Arkansas and Texas are alloted to the sixth judicial circuit, as to which there is a vacancy on the bench.

The Chief Justice, in the exercise of his circuit duties, has recently held a Circuit Court in the State of North Carolina. North Carolina is not a State of this Union, the Chief Justice has no authority to hold a court there, and every order, judgment and decree rendered by him in that court was corom non judice, and void. .

TITLES BY CONQUEST.

Another ground on which these Reconstruction acts are attempted to be sustained is this : That these ten States are conquered territory; that the constitutional relation in which they stood as States towards the Federal Government prior to the rebellion has given place to a new relation ; that this territory is a conquered country, and their sitizens a conquered people; and that, in this new relation, Congress can govern them by military power. A title by conquest stands on clear ground.

.

constitution, which authorizes his appointment by any Federal officer ? If, however, he is to be considered a civil officer of the United States, as his appointment and oath would eem to indicate, where is the authority for his appointment vested by the constitution? The power of appointment of all officers of the United States, civil or military, where not provided

for in the constitution, is vested in the President by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, with this exception : that Congress may by law vest the appointment of such offerior officers as they think proper in the President alone, in the courts of law, or in heads of departments. But this bill, if these are to be considered inferior officers within the meaning of the constitution, does not provide for their appointment by the President alone, or the courts of law, or by the heads of departments, but vests the appointment in one subordinate executive officer, subject to the approval of another subordinate executive officer. So that if we put this question and fix the character of the military appointee either way, this provision of the bill is equal-

ly opposed to the constitution. Take the case of a soldier or officer appointed to perform the office of judge in one of these States, and as such to administer the proper laws of the State. Where is the authority to be found in the constitution for vesting in a military or an executive officer strict judicial functions to be exercised under State law ? It has been again and again deoided by the Supreme Court of the United States that acts of Congress which have attempted to vest executive powers in the judi-cial courts, or judges of the United States, are not warranted by the constitution.

If Congress cannot clothe a judge with merely executive duties, how can they clothe an officer or soldier of the army with judicial duties over citizens of the United States who are not in the military or naval service? So, too, it has been repeatedly decided that Congress dannot require a State officer, executive or judicial, to perform any duty enjoined upon him by a law of the United States, How, then, can Congress confor power upon an ex centive officer of the United States to perform such duties in a State? If Congress could not vest in a judge of one of these States any judicial anthority under the United States, by direct ensetment, how can it accomplish

the same thing indirectly, by removing the State judge and putting an officer of the Uni-

of Congress.

Within A period less than a year the legis-

theless, is in their hands ; it is to be found in the ballot, and is a sure one, if not controlled by fraud, overawed by arbitrary power, or from apathy on their part too long delayed .---With abiding confidence in their patriotism, wisdom and intensity, 1 am still hopeful of the future, and that in the end the rod of despotism will be broken, the armed rule of powr be lifted from the necks of the people, and the principles of a violated constitution preserved.

ANDREW JOHNSON.

The Confederate Dead.

We have received the following communication from Prof. W. F. Roe, of Elmira, New York. Those of our readers who have relatives or friends that died at Elmira, by .addressing Prof. Roe, will probably be able to ascertain what disposition was made of their bodies. The care that is being bestowed on the "ashes of our dead," is more calculated to bring about an era of good feeling and genuine reconstruction than all that Congress has done since the termination of the war. In

behalf of our people, we hereby thank the citizens of Elmira, for their kindness and consideration, and our correspondent for informing us of the facts :

"When the prisoners of war were sent to Elagira, the United States bought an unorgupied corner of Wood-Lawn Cometery, in the On that ground, apart, repose together the war-wrecked sons of the South who died in the Elmira Prison Camp. Out of 12,000 prisoners, in all, sent to that place, from time to time, during one year, from three to four thousand of the bright and brave perished.

The spot hallowed by their graves is bean-tifully environed. The circling hills close in Now that the prospect of immediate starvaa varied and unique landscape, and ourtain it with repose. A little stream, mostly mur-muring gently, marks off their place "under the shade of the trees beyond." But at times it is wild and threatons ruinous and descerating violence ; yet at mid summer it is often This has lately been deepened and dry. planked, on bottom and sides, to fix and clear its course. The Government is having this portion of Wood Lawn surrounded by an ironrailing, and will soon replace the neat but frail head-boards with others of galvanized iron, bearing in full the name and description of each of the dead. .

Here and there on the ground, stand oaks ted States in his place? To .me these considerations are conclusive of the unconstitutionality of this part of the bill now before me, and I earnestly commond their consideration to the deliberate judgment. ting, now upon one grave and now upon another, some memorial flower."

1. A.

ARREST FOR DISTILLING .- Capt. Frank Arnim was recently arrested in Hamburg, S. ., and brought before James Birnie, Esq.. United States Commissioner at this place; on a charge of violation of the Internal Revenue Laws in distilling spirituous liquors without a license at a Vinegar Distilleoy near Hamburg... After a preliminary examination before the Commissioner, an order was issued requiring the Defendant (Arnim) to give bond, with good sureties. for his appearance at the next Term of the U. S District Court, to be held in Greenville. He was unable to uo so, and was committed to Jail to await, his trial .---Messrs. Crump & Davison, of the house of Crump. Davison & Co., of Augusta, Ga., were also arrested on the same warrant, and carried before the Commissioner at Augusta, when they gave bond for their appearance at the same term of the Court.

[Greenville Mountaincer.

PROPOS'ED DISPOSITION TO BE MADE OF THE PFABOD & FUND - A teachers' convention, for the State of Virginia, was in session at Lynch ourg last week. The session was a vory inceresting one. Rev. Dr. Sears, General agent of the Peabody Fund, was present, an a addressed the convention in a very entertaining speech, in the course of which he stasuburbs of that city, for government, use. -- | ted his intention in visiting the South was for the purpose of thoroughly examining into the educational wants of the country, with a view to decide how the cause would be best subserved in the distribution of the Peabody fund---whether in its appropriation to primaty or normal schools, or to academics and coltion has passed, we can think of educational matters.

> QUEER BAGGAGE .--- Among the toilet artieles which the Sultan has brought with him into the countries of the infidels is an immenso tank of Nile water. Ilis highness is forbidden to bathe in any less sacred water. The transportation of this tank from Egypt to Paris must have cost some only a ve y pretty sum of money. Another of the Sultan's accesso-ries is a kind of screen, which he uses at meals. It enables him to see the other people at table without being seen himself Tradition di-rects that profane eyes shall not be able to note either the app tits or the abst mance of the Faithful-doubtless a convenient regulation.

DR. HOLMES says that easy onying widows take new husbands soonest; there is nothing like wet weather for transplauting.