DURISOE & ABNEY, EDITORS.

EDCETIELD. S. C. APRIL 1. 1846.

## VOLUME XI.

Two Dollars and FIFTY CENTS, per annum. of paid in advance - \$3 if not paid within six months from the date of subscription, and \$1 if not paid before the expiration of the year. All subscriptions will be continued, unless otherwise ordered before the expiration of the year; but no paper will be discontinued until all arrearages are paid, unless at the option of the Publisher. Any person procuring five responsible Subscri-

bers, shall receive the paper for one year

Adversements conspictionally inserted at 75 cests per square. (12 lines, or less.) for the first insertion, and 371 for each continuance. These published monthly or quarterly, will be charge \$1 per square. Advertisements not having the number of insertions marked on them, will be continued until ordered out and charged accordingly.

Communications, post paid, will be promptly and strictly attended to.

## The Advertiser.

EDGEFIELD C. H.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL I. 1816

The Post Master General has decided, that newspapers with a stamp or memorandum on the envelope, or on the paper, are subject to letter postage, by right.

Mr. Thos. Ritchie, Jun .- This gemleman who recently killed Mr. Pleasants in single combat, has surrendered himself to the authorities of Chesterfield, the scene of the rencontre-

Distinguished American Poets .- William Cullen Bryant, distinguished in America and Europe, as a poet, is the editor of a political paper in New York. Fitz Green Halleck, an- est, and most commanding interest. The other celebrated poet, is a Merchant's clerk. These gentlemen though now scarcely noticed understable, is it so in the Lord's ? Will in the crowd of business men, to which they the Peers, with a unifority in the Com belong, will long be remembered as among the first poets of our country. They can give no better proof of good common sense, of which literary men are generally wanting, than Peers will pass the bill; and in this opin to follow some respectable business for a livelihood.

WRITINGS OF HUGH S. LEGARE-The Charleston papers announce for sale, the writings of the late Hugh S. Legare, former Atterney General, and acting Secretary of State of the United States. These writings consist of his Diary of Brussels and Journal of the Rhine extracts from his private and domestic correspondence, orations and speeches, and confributions to the New York and Somthern Review. From the distinguished political, and literary character of this son of South Caroling, doubtless, this collection of his writings must be a work of surpassing interest. In literary attainments, critical skill, a profound knowledge of the law, and in a pure and eloquent style. Legare had few or no superiors.

LIFE OF FRANCIS MARION-By William Gilmore Simms.

During the past year, Mr. Simms, well known by his literary labors, published a life of the celebrated partisan leader, General Francis Marion. Mr. Simms has done a very acceptable service, especially to the young men of South Carolina, in the publication of this book. It is the most amhentic, the most comprehensive and the most interesting biography of Marion yet published. It is a good history of the revolutionary war in South Car negotiations; and we were admonished oliua, particularly of that portion of it, in which General Marion chiefly figured.

Though the book has some faults of style and contains some careless passages, upon the whole, it is pleasingly written. It is interspersed with several ancedotes and sketches of revolutionary worthies, which cannot fail to please. In reading of the numerous daring adventures and "hair breath escapes" of our great partisan warrior, we were forcibly reminded of some fabrilous hero of romance.-The singular facility which Marion possessed in assembling his men when scattered far as sunder, reminded us of the famous Rhoderick Phu, celebrated in a poem by Walter Scott. The book contains a graphic description of " Snow's Island," which for a long time, afforded a secure retreat to Marion and his troop. from the pursuit of the enemy. There was a wildness and magnificence of scenery about the Island at that period, which could not but produce a pleasing effect upon the minds of the most untutored. This description of the writer, brought before us, the picture of the outlaw, Robin Hood, who dwelt in a remote age with his "merry-men" in the green forests obligation which their acts seemed to imof Sherwood. It is not our wish to lower Gen. Marion, by instituting a comparison between compromise which the United States him and these robber chiefs mentioned above, who were represented as possessing some noble, traits of character. Marion had all promise, which had been made and re the unobtrusive virtues of a good citizen. He furnished a bright example to the men of his day, and to all future generations. He was lieved, maintained by irrefragible facts ever ready to serve his country in the council and the field, and herrendered both, most important services. Modestand unassuming at of Congress to consider what measures it the close of the war, he retired to his planta- may be proper to adopt for the security tion, where he spent nearly all the remainder and protection of our citizens now inhabof his days, in the bosom of his family. He iting, or who may hereafter inhabit, Ora of his days, in the bosom of his lamily. He was narried at a late period of his life, and left no descendants.

Sou, and for the maintainance of our just title to that territory."

The measures which the President left no descendants.

the following extracts upon the subject of the citizens, now in Oregon, or those who England's Commercial Policy. - We subjoin

This measure must still undergo discussion in the House of Lords, where its fate is doubtful, but sanguine hopes are entertained that it will ultimately succeed. If it should, it will be of immense importance to the United States. as the British ports will then be epen for free trade, though with certain restrictions.

"The agitation which is now proceeding in favor of Free Trade is almost exclusively confined to the watts of Parliament. The people out of doors-the great mass of this thinking, intelligent, stirring nation, require no fillip, want no incentive, to wed them to the cause. Their love is deep and abiding. They are passive, but not indifferent speciators of the conflict. It is the nation versus, the lords of the soil; justice and expediency on the one side-obstinacy and selfishness on the other. We have alluded below to the monster debate, and its all but monster majority-a majority too potent for the landlords to struggle against. The safety of the measure is insured; and our trans atlautic readers, engaged in commerce with England, may rest satisfied that Peel's commercial policy will become the

The Monster Debate .-- The great debate on Sir Robert Peel's financial scheme. which extended over three weeks, and af forded food for twelve nights incessant oratory, was brought to a close on the morning of Saturday last, by a division which gave the Minister a majority of ninety-se-

The fate of the measure in the Lords is pregnant with consequences of the highmeasure is safe in the Commons-that is mons of 97, and in the present state of ck cited public feeling, throw out the measure, and thus force on a dissolution of Parliament? Our firm belief is, that the ion we are strengthened by the private testimony of several influential members of Parliament, with whose views we have been fawered,

REMARKS OF MR. BURT, Of South Carolina, on the Oregon Question : Delivered in the House of Representatives, L'ebruary 7, 1846.

The Honse being in Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union, and having under consideration the joint resolution to terminate the convention of 1827 for the joint occupancy of the Oregen territory, and the various amend ments thereto-

Mr Burt addressed the committee as follows:

Mr. Chairman: It has been assumed by those who have preceded me in this debate, that the chief inducement to give the notice recommended in the annual message of the President is, that it will contribute to a speedy adjustment of the question of boundary between the United States and Great Britain. And it was insisted by the gentleman from Alabama. de Houston,) as an objection to the proposition of his colleague, (Mr. Dargan) that any definition of the limits of the country on the northwest coast, of which we should take exclusive possession when the notice expires, would embarrass our by that gentleman, that if we desired a compromise of this question, we should give the notice.

Sir, I entertain a different opinion. 1 undertake to say that the only reason assigned by the President, and the only the ject to be accomplished by the notice, is be terminating the treaty of joint occupancy, to enable us to take exclusive posssion of the territory.

What is the language of the President? Does he not inform as that, in consideration that Mr. Monroe and Mr. Adams had each proposed to the government of Great Britain the forty minth parallel of north latitude as the boundary, he felt constrained to make another effort to adjust this long pending controversy?-That he had submitted the offer of the forty ninth parallel, without the right to navigate the Columbia river, which had been tendered by his prececessors, and that it had been rejected ? Sir, his language is:

"The extraordinary and wholly inadmissible demands of the British government, and the rejection of the proposition made in deference alone of what had been done by my predecessors, and the implied pose, afford satisfactory evidence that no ought to accept can be effected." "With this conviction, the proposition of a comected, was, by my direction, subsequently withdrawn, and our title to the whole Oregon territory asserted, and, as is beand arguments." "All attempts at compromise having failed, it became the duty

deems necessary to the protection of our may hereafter emigrate to that country. Commercial Policy of Great Britain, from are suggested in his message. They are, Wilmer & Smith's Enropean Times, bearing the extension of our jurisdiction and laws

protect emigrants; and the establishment of a monthly overland mail.

Bat, sir, he advises us to give notice, and should Congress think proper to make provision for giving this nouce, we shall ly state them. have reached a period when the national rights in Oregon must either be abandon- I am the both national honor and interest, is too clear to admit of a doubt."

and delude the country or themselves with the belief that it is advised because it will riot to connsel her to be right. contribute to the amicable adjustment of our delicate and perilous relations with Great Britair. ?

I beg this committee to be reminded that if further negotiations were in the tion of Mr. Mouroe, before we had accontemplation of the President, they can quired the right of Spain to the country be conducted only by those functionaries of the government to whom the constitution has confided the treaty power. I am yet to learn that the House of Representatives have ever been supposed to participate this power with the President and the Senate. And I supmit to the committee and the country that it is only because " all attempts at compromise having failed," this House can be appealed to by the President, and that the appeal is He masts that it was perfect and commade that we may adopt measures for plete, independently of the Spanish erritory of Oregon, and, at the expiration of the notice, take exclusive posses-

But, sir. if we disregard the direct and explicit language of the President, can the human mind conceive any other motive for terminating the treaty of joint occupancy of this territory than the exclusion of Great Britain ! If the notice be given, and the question of boundary be still unadjusted when the twelve months shall have elapsed, we shall have no alternative left but to eject Great Britain from any portion of the territory, or submit to the sacrifice of those rights which the country had been told are "clear and unquestionable." Gentlemen. Mr. Chairman; have discoursed eloquently of "national honor" in this debate. Let me tell them that will be a nation of cravens and dastards if we do not instantly tear down every British fort and flag that shall be found upon our soil. Sir, he cherishes no just sentiment of national honor who would thus pause to negotiate, or parley to com-

Before we determine, then, to give the notice to Great Britain, which is in itself a harmless measure, we should have well considered whether we shall take possession of the entire territory of Oregon, and if not of the whole, of which portion of ir. If we intend to assert our rights in sion of the pretensions of England, that assertion must be maintained by the arms. of this country. The gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. Adams) has expressed territory, there will not be war. I did that our adversary will "back out," I man ought not to make-it is one that a brave man would scorn to make.

If, Mt. Chairman, we provide for giving our rights, the President cannot, and I feel assured he will not, hesitate to maintain them with all the resources of the government, to the entire territory in controversy. And, sir, when this deliberate and often repeated declaration-that our title to the whole country west of the Rocky mountains is "clear and unquestionable"-if we simply terminate the joint occupancy, he would find in our acion the approval of his opinions, and might well be deterred from admitting that Great Britain has any just protensions to ask a partition of it with us. For I entertained the opinion as to our title on which we now distinctly and emphatiexhaust all the resources of this great What was our appreciation of this Spancountry before I would surrender one rood of that territory on the demand of a fereign government. I trust this committee will neither shrink from, nor evade, the

At a recent period of our history, Mr. was covered-every rod of it-by this Chairman, the distinguished citizen who Spanish claim. was then chief magistrate declared "that we should claim nothing which is not is wrong." It is a great and noble senit-ment. It defines the point of henor in not claiming that which is not clearly right than in submitting to that which is -a brave man, or a brave people-may sent her sovereignty. submit to wrong without degradation, but they can never claim what is not right without dishonor,

I proceed, Mr. Chairman, to inquire

Robert Peel has been carried in the House of and stockade fortes on the roate between not propose to enter into any elaborate before the treaty of Florida, by which we extend our jurisdiction and exclusive Commons, by a majority of ninety-seven.— our frontier settlements on the Missouri and minute discussion of the pretentions acquired whatever rights Spain possessed, and the Rocky mountains; an adequate either the of U. States or Great Britain; we proposed to make the 49th parallel of force of mounted riflemen to guard and but I intend to show how that matter has been regarded by our own government, from the year 1818 to 1845. And whilst I would tells us, "At the end of the year's notice, the preensions of Great Britain against rested our exclusive claim to the valley my owi country, I shall briefly but frank- of the Columbia River, which we had ur-

Sir. in that gallant old State of which ed or firmly maintained. That they can- State so wurch misunderstood, so much upon a boundary. What was the connot be abandoned without a sacrifice of maligned in this hall-our standard of vention? The gentleman from Massapatriotism teaches us, in any contest with chusetts [Mr. Adams] the other day deher foes right or wrong, to stand by our Sir, can gentlemen read this earnest country. But whilst no Carolinian will and emphatic language of the President, ever detert the standard of his country, even when wrong, it is the duty of a pat-

Ocrette, Mr. Chairman, to the valley of the Columbia river, derived from dis covery, exploration, and settlement, was asserted in 1818, during the administrawest of the Rocky mountains. It was maintained by Mr. alhoun in an argu ment, whilst Secretary of State, the force and clearness of which our present distinguished Secretary has said he would not impair by repeating it. Mr. Buchan British minister, speaks of this title as exising prior to the treaty of Florida, in 1819, and independently of its provisions. maintenance of our just title" to the rights which we acquired by that treaty. I think so, sir. But our distinguished Secretary of State, not content with a and complete title, says: "Our acquisition of the rights of Spain, then, under the Florida treaty, whilst it cannot affect the prior title of the United States to the valley of the Columbia, has ren dered it more clear and unquestionable before the world." Now, sir, if our title was "perfect and complete" before we acquired the rights of Spato, and has been rendered "more clear and unquestionable" by our treaty with Spain, it surely is a good title, and we ought not

to surrender it. What does he say of our title to the county north of the forty-ninth degree of latitude? Why, sir, that it is recorded in the Fiorida treaty; that Spain acquired the country in the name of their sovereign, according to a prescribed regulation; celchrating mass, reading declarations asserting the right of Spain to the territory, and erecting crosses with inscriptions to commemorate the event." This, sir, is a very fair statement of the Spanish title. Spain audonbiedly made very early explorations of the coast of the Pacific ocean, and asserted title to the country on that coast. And if discovery alone, without settlement, could confer tale, I should think we acquired by the Florida treaty a any portion of this country to the exclunever to have consented to surrender to Great Britain or any other power.

It will not be denied, Mr. Chairman, try on the northwest coast. She mainnot understand that gentleman as assign- toined that it was vacant country, in would "back out." Sir, the calculation pronounced the pretension of Spain to exclusive sovereignty "the most absurd and take leave to think, is one that a prudent exhorbitant that could be imaged." It is matter of history that Great Britain in 1790 was prepared to resort to war in resisting the pretensions of Spain. This the notice without defining the extent of conflict of pretensions led to the convention of Nootka tu 1790. By this convention Spain yielded every demand-admitted every right which Great Britain had asserted. She yielded the right to land on the coast, to navigate the waters, to make settlements, and to trade with the inhabitants. How did these concessions consist with the claim of exclusive sovereignty which Spain for more-than two centuries

had set up to this territory ? But, sir, did our government, I beg to inquire, respect this ancient claim of Spain which we now so gravely assert ? Let the grounds on which we based our myself. I do not hesitate to declare that if title to the valley of the Columbia in 1818, expressed in his inaugural address, and cally restathat title, answer. We then repeated in his annual message, I would beld it in contempt, and put it at defiance. ish title in 1823, when we held it since 1819? Why, sir, we surrendered to the demands of the Emperer of Russia the country between 61 degrees of latitude responsibility which has devolved upon as and 54 degrees and 40 minutes, which

What, Mr. Chairman; had Spain done from 1790 to 1819, when she transferred clearly right, and submit to nothing which to the United States her prefeusions to this territory, to give to them definiteness and validity ? So far from making setamongst nations; and, in my estimation, tlements, she had actually abandoned evthe honor of a nation, as well as of an ery trading factory north of the 49th deindividual, is infinitely more concerned gree of latitude prior to the year 1800.-From that period to the cession to the United States, not an act was done by wrong. A strong man, or a great nation | Spain to consummate her claims or as

I proceed, Mr. Chairman, to inquire whether our government has not, by the most solema and explicit declarations and acts, recognized the rights which Great whether we can now assert title to the Britain had asserted against Spain, not date March 4th. They are of great importance, over them; the establishment of Indian entire Oregon territory consistently with only before, but since we acquired the defining that as the limit to which, at the restablishment of Indian entire Oregon territory consistently with only before, but since we acquired the defining that as the limit to which, at the restablishment of Indian entire Oregon territory consistently with only before, but since we acquired the defining that as the limit to which, at the restablishment of Indian entire Oregon territory consistently with only before, but since we acquired the defining that as the limit to which, at the restablishment of Indian entire Oregon territory on the restablishment of Indian entire Oregon territory or the restablishment of Indian entire Oregon ter

latitude the boundary between the territory claimed by the United States and that claimed by Great Britain west of the scorn to become the advocate of Rocky mountains. Great Britain conged, and declined to accede to our proposition. The weaty of 1818 was the rehumblest of representatives-a sult of this ineffectual attempt to agree nied that it was a treaty of joint occupan. cy. But if I am not greatly mistaken, that gentleman, at the last session, charecterized it a treaty of joint occupancy, We then heard nothing of the hypercriticism of the chairman of Foreign Relations. (Mr. C. J. Ingersoll.) who dow concurs with the gentleman from Massachusetts. Although that convention is treated in all our negotiations as one of joint occopancy, the material inquiry is, what does it concede to Great Britain? Are not its stipulations mutual and reciprocal? Is there a solitary right reserved to the U. States that is not reserved to Great Britain? Is there a privilege guarantied to one party, which is not conferred on the other? Is there a protest as to the title of one, which does not apply to the title of the other ? This convention was adopted for the period of ten years, during

which the respective claims of the two

In 1819, we acquired all the rights

governments were suspended.

which Spain then had to this territory ; and from 1823 to 1827 held negotiations with Great Britain, the sole object and purpose of which was the adjustment of the boundary. What, sir, have our negotiotors and statesmen said of the convention of 1818? Mr. Clay, Secretary of State, during the administration of Mr. Adams, in his letter to Mr. Gallatin, the minister to England, on the 19th of June, 1826, says: "It is true that the third article of the convention of 1818 recognizes that Great Britain then had claims to the northwest coast, but it neither defines nor scules them, nor specifies where they had their origin." Mr. Gallatin, in his despatch to Mr. Clay, of the 25th November, 1826, reciting his conference with the British Plenipotentiaries, says : "But our when we shall have terminated the treaty

by which Gient Britain holds the joint

occupancy with us of this territory, we

the 57th degree of lantude, "on all of sufficient proof that we admitted that the which occasions they took possession of also had claims which deserved, and to which we paid due consideration."-"Claiming themselves by right of discovery and settlement, they allowed what was due to Great Britain on the same account, and all that she could justly claim under the Nootka convention, according to its true construction." In his letter to Mr. Clay, of the 27th June, 1827, Mr. Gallatin, speaking of the contemplated renewal of the convention of 1818, said? 'It was altogether a matter of mutual concern. There was no other object for it than that of preserving peace until a permanent boundary could be agreed on." In his letter to Mr. Gullatin, of the 24th February, 1827. Mr. Clay says: "Supposing Great Britain to have any well that from Cook's voyage in 1778, to the founded claim, as there he (as there are the opinion that if, the very day after the convention of Nootka in 1790, Great Bri- believed to be) no other powers that the notice should be given, we march our tain steadily and earnestly controverted United States and Great Britain who can troops and take possession of the whole the exclusive claim of Spain to the coun- assert rights of territorial sovereignty between 42 and 51 40 degrees, there can be no equitable division of the intermediing any other reason for that opinion than which no nation had exclusive rights or ate space but an equal partition. Such his bestef that one or the other party exclusive privileges. In 1790 Mr. Pitt an equal position would assign about the parallel of 49 degrees as the common boundary. The President regrets that the British Plenipotentiaries have thought proper to decline the proposal which you made of that line." A distinguished Senater, (Mr. Benton.) who has ever felt a deep interest in any thing which affects the interests of the West, attributed, in 1842, in his place as Sonator, to the convention of 1818 certain "great faults," amongst them one, "in assuming that there were divers harbors, bays, creeks, and navigable rivers west of the Rocky mountains, some belonging to the United States, and some to Great Britain ; and that mutuality of benefits was conferred by giving to each party access to the waters of the other," Another was "in admitting a claim on the part of Great Britain to any portion of these territories."-I confidently affirm, and I appeal to our negotiators, that at no period of them has our government insisted on a more favorable boundary than the 49th parallel of failude. The convention of 1818 was renewed in 1827, and has been acquiesced in by every administration to the present moment.

Now, Mr. Chairman, when it is not even alleged that Great Britain has done any act to forfeit or to impair the rights which, from 1818 to 1845, our government has admitted the possesses in the Oregon territory, with what force can we, before heaven and man, deny them ?-Sir, as a just people-as a Christian nation-we cannot, we dare not.

Sir, as we have been informed that no honorable compromise of this ancient controversy can be effected, and have been called upon by the Executive to maintain our just rights to this territory. I lies at this time in want of bread, and am prepared to take my share of the responsibility. My judgment has yielded to the force of the argument which maintains our title to then valley of the Columbia, and I am prepared to assert and to enforce it. I am unwilling to surrender revenue voted to the States by Congress to great Britain the country below the in 1836—and also voted to district the 49th parallel; and with an amendment State for the election of members to the

possession, I am ready to vote for the no-

Mr. Chairman, I see on this floor a gentleman [Mr. Adams] of advanced age. large experience, and great attainments, who was Secretary of State in 1818. when the treaty of joint occupancy was States in 1827, when it was renewed. Or that gentleman, I do not intend to

speak in terms of personal disrespect. But, sir, it has been his fortune to bear owards this question a relation the most mportant and remarkable. His right hand claims the credit of inserting in the Florida treaty rhe clause on which our title to the Oregon territory is based. He has said that the convention of 1818 was the alternative to instant war. In 1827, Mr. Gallatin informs our government that the only reason for the renewal of that convention was to preserve peace. That gentlemen now thinks there will not be war, if we march in our troops, affer the notice has expired, and take possession of the whole country! Until the last session of Congress, he was not prepared to agree to a termination of the oint occupancy. Then his mind was pen to conviction as to our title on the part of this territory; now he would take possession of the whole! When President of the United States, he thought an equal diversion of it with Great Britain was the only equitable partition, and regretted that her Plenipotentiaries would not accept it; now he would deny her any part of it! These are rapid and remarkable transitions. Sir, the country will demand of that gentleman, what has produced his change ? What muniment of title, what fact to elucidate it, that escaped his research when conducting our negmariens from 1818 to 1827, has been discovered by that gentieman to prodece a change so sudden and extraordinary? Well may the people-well may the civilized world -inquire, why this change of policy had position?

Mr. Chairman, in consideration of the past if not of the future—if not to calculate the cost of maintening our tights—we should pause before we plunge this country into war. We should take counsel of our hearts and our consciences, whether that war would be just, and in a just cause. Sir, I have taken my position. I believe it maintains the honor and the rights of my country, and will command the approbation of the people. It is the compromise offered by our government from 1818 to 1845. I am unwilling to accept his. 1 will not demand more.

But, Mr. Chairman, if it be the determination of the committee to terminate the renty of joint occupancy, with the purpose of asserting the right of domain to a part or to the whole of the territory of Oregon. trust the notice will be couched in those manly terms which shall manifest our sense that it is a right. The treaty provvides that it shall be determiced at the expiration of twelve months' notice, and secures to each of the high contracting parties the right to give it. The notice needs no apology; and I submit that it is unmanly and unbecoming to make one.
And I must be permitted to say, that ot admire the spirit that would whisper it in the pitiful palaver of a " preamble.

Mr. Chairman, my constituents are ever ready to maintain the rights and defend he honor of their country. They know nothing of the people of whom I am ao humble, but I trust faithful representative. who suppose they have any unmanly fear of wor, calamitous and desolating as it ever has been and ever must be to their interests. They appeal to the history of their country to bear them witness that whenever the gallantry and patriotism of its citizens have been summoned to its standard, they have been the first in the held end the fiercest in the battle.

or the whining and whimpering of a

whereas."

Sir, the south does earnestly deprecate war, but not, as I have heard intimated, because she is conscious of any element of venkness or danger in her social system. She fears no domestic disquietude in

war. But much as we deprecate national dishonor and degradation, And if war come from maintaining the rights and the honor of our country, by the help of Heaven we will meet it, though it drag its victims from every firesside, and slay them on every coast, and plain, and height, in this broad confederacy.

Corn .- Notwithstanding the recent apprehensions of famine in the upper part f our State, in consequence of the disastrous drought of last season, the price of corn is not so high as anticipated, and the market here appears to have a down. ward tendency for a week or two past. We are informed that the highest price now asked is 70 cents per bushel. Tittere is a considerable quantity being brought from Columbia and Hamburg into the Districts below this, which, taken in connexion with the usual amount of ryo sown last fall for pastures, will cause the suffering to be much less than was expecied a few months since. There is no doubt, however, but there are many fainsuch should have assistance from their more fortunate neighbors .- Greentillt Mountaineer.

The State of New Hampshire has decided to receive her share of the surplus