ONLINE POLL

Are you concerned about USC's water quality? Let us know at www.dailygamecock.com.

THE GAMECOCK • Wednesday, June 8, 2005 **IEWPOINTS**

THE AMECOCK

CONTACT INFORMATION

Offices on third floor of the Russell House Editor: gamecockeditor@gwm.sc.edu News: gamecocknews@gwm.sc.edu Viewpoints: gamecockopinions@gwm.sc.edu The Mix: gamecockfeatures@gwm.sc.edu Sports: gamecocksports@gwm.sc.edu Public Affairs: gamecockPR@yahoo.com Online: www.dailygamecock Newsroom: 777-7726 Editor's Office: 777-3914

STAFF

EDITOR Steven Van Haren

VIEWPOINTS EDITOR Patrick Augustine

THE MIX EDITOR

SPORTS EDITOR Alex Riley

COPY EDITORS Laura-Joyce Gough Brindy McNair

PAGE DESIGNERS Megan Sinclair Mary Pinckney Waters

ONLINE EDITOR

STUDENT MEDIA

DIRECTOR Scott Lindenberg

FACULTY ADVISER Erik Collins

CREATIVE DIRECTOR Susan King

BUSINESS MANAGER Carolyn Griffin

ADVERTISING MANAGER Sarah Scarborough

CLASSIFIED MANAGER Sherry F. Holmes

PRODUCTION MANAGER

The Gamecock is the editorially independent student newspaper of the University of South Carolina. It is published Monday. Wednesday and Friday during the fall and spring semesters and nine times during the summer, with the exception of university holidays and exam periods. Opinions expressed in The Gamecock are those of the editors or author and not those of the University of South Carolina. The Board of Student Publications and Communications is the publisher of The Gamecock. The Office of Student Media is the newspaper's parent organization. The Gamecock is supported in part by studentactivity fees. One free copy per reader. Additional copies may be purchased for \$1 each from the Office of Student Media

GAMECOCK CORRECTIONS

If you see an error in today's paper, we want to know. E-mail gamecockopinions@gwm.sc.edu.

TO PLACE AN AD

The Gamecock 1400 Greene St. Columbia, S.C. 29208 Fax: 777-6482

Advertising: 777-3888 Classified: 777-1184

EDITORIAL BOARD

Steven Van Haren

NEWS EDITOR Jon Turner

Shana Till

VIEWPOINTS EDITOR

IN OUR OPINION Supreme Court dodges drug legalization issue

The Supreme Court's decision Monday to invalidate a California law that allowed doctors to prescribe marijuana to chronically ill patients for medical use is a perfect example of judicial overreaching. Conservatives have long complained that federal judges annex power that is reserved to Congress, but the six-to-three decision improperly used the commerce clause, which permits Congress to regulate trade across state lines, to undermine laws in 10 states that allowed limited use of marijuana under a physician's observation.

While current federal law states there is no accepted medical use for marijuana in the United States, the logic employed in the majority opinion circumvents the issue of marijuana's legality entirely. States may not have the right to write laws that countermand federal statutes, but the justices were not asked to address this issue. Instead of delineating the rights of doctors to act within the law in their patients' best interest, the high court effectively ended the public debate over decriminalization of marijuana. Congress is the correct venue for such a discussion, and a re-examination of the United States' stance on drug use and prevention is long past due.

English-only classes deny American roots

Do vou remember Spike Lee's "School Daze"? Released in 1988, it is actually the second feature film on his jointography list. One of my favorite films, "School Daze" was a

movie about the intra-race prejudices that existed on the college campus. Whether it is or truly problem, Lee left the viewer with a to think about. At the end of



GREGG Third-year interdisciplinary studies student

the movie, the character played by Laurence Fishburne ended the movie by running through campus screaming for everyone to "wake up!"

This morning as I was catching up on some editorials in the local newspaper, I had the same urge. There was a letter to the editor from a gentleman who was upset after reading an article about recent GED recipients who were allowed to take the test in Spanish. I

gathered that his arguments were that (1) he felt Americans couldn't go to any other country and take their tests in our native languages, (2) as citizens of South Carolina and also hard-earned America, our money shouldn't have to fund this type of program, and (3) we are funding the program at the expense of our "our own identity as English-speaking Americans."

Why, in 2005, do we have to be subjected to this type of attitude? Don't get me wrong -I know that as humans we are all entitled to our own opinions. As Americans, we are even entitled to voice our opinions publicly - but did the newspaper really have to print it?

What happened to the so-"melting pot" American society? Think - the first settlers to establish colonies didn't speak the same language as the natives. In fact, considering the fact that the first settlements were formed by people who came from all over Europe, I am inclined to believe that the first settlers didn't speak the same language as each other. Furthermore, I believe that our identity as Americans is based on the mixture of many different languages cultures.

I went back and found the article. I wasn't surprised to find that the students did in fact speak English. In fact, one was even quoted in the article. It is sad to me that we still have a problem with race and ethnicity. What difference does it make that a person chooses to express him- or herself in a foreign tongue? What ever happened to America, the land of opportunity? It seems to me that we still have a lot of waking up to do.

