# EWPOINTS

ONLINE POLL

Should the Gamecocks be ranked higher in the AP football poll? www.dailygamecock.com. Results published on Fridays.

IN OUR OPINION

# Duty to Iraq needs limits

President Bush plans to ask Congress for \$87 billion to continue efforts to rebuild Iraq and quell terrorism.

This comes after more than four months of occupation following the end of major combat. During this time, an average of 10 soldiers has been wounded in action a day in the occupation, which costs \$3.9 billion a month, according to the Washington Post.

The United States also has also yet to find weapons

of mass destruction or Saddam Hussein.

It seems there are no limits for the cost of rebuilding Iraq, but this needs to be Bush's last request.

After overthrowing Iraq's government, the United States carries the responsibility of rebuilding it.

"This will take time and require sacrifice," Bush said. "Yet we will do what is necessary, we will spend what

is necessary, to achieve this essential victory in the war on terror, to promote freedom and to make our own nation more secure."

It seems there are no limits for the cost of rebuilding Iraq, but this needs to be Bush's last request. The price of nation-building and peacekeeping in this case are becoming a burden on American taxpayers.

Bush said his objectives include "destroying the terrorists, enlisting the support of other nations for a free Iraq and helping Iraqis assume responsibility for their own defense and their own future."

But the United States cannot do everything. Our responsibility lies in establishing a democratic regime in Iraq and getting out.

### College Quote Board

ERIC GREMILLION, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

"There lies little incentive for other countries to send their troops to a place they didn't want invaded anyway. They would want a share of the power, and our leaders cannot bear to let any of it go. This just means the Security Council initiative by Bush and Powell will fail, and they'll find themselves at the drawing board again.

HRIS ROBBINS, BROWN UNIVERSITY

"There are many arguments against a living wage ordinance, many of them from small business owners and corporations alike. The logical facts speak for themselves, though. Employees who make more money spend more money. Employers who pay employees a reasonable living wage experience less turnover and employee absenteeism, cutting training costs.'

#### GAMECOCK CORRECTIONS

In Friday's paper, "Quigmans" artist Buddy Hickerson's name

was misspelled. The Gamecock regrets the error.

If you see an error in today's paper, we want to know. E-mail us at gamecockopinions@hotmail.com.

#### ABOUT THE GAMECOCK

**CONTACT INFORMATION** 

Editor in Chief

Managing Editor

News Editor

Asst. News Editor

Viewpoints Editor

The Mix Editor Meg Moore

Sports Editor

Asst. Sports Editor

Photo Editor

Asst. Photo Editor

Head Page Designers Shawn Rourk, David

Page Designers Justin Bajan, Samantha Hall, Staci Jordan

Slot Copy Editors

Goff, Tricia Ridgway

Mary Waters, Steven Van

Online Editor

Public Affairs Kimberly Dressler

Offices on third floor of the Russell House

Editor in Chief: gamecockeditor@hotmail.com News: gamecockudesk@hotmail.com Viewpoints: gamecockopinions@hotmail.com The Mix: gamecockmixeditor@hotmail.com Sports; gamecocksports@hotmail.com Public Affairs: gckpublicaffairs@hotmail.com Online: www.dailygamecock.com Newsroom: 777-7726 Editor's Office: 777-3914

The Gamecock is the editorially independent

student newspaper of the University of South

Wednesday and Friday during the fall and

spring semesters and nine times during the

exception of university

summer, with the

holidays and exam periods. Opinions

expressed in The

the editors or author

and not those of the

University of South Carolina. The Board of

Student Publications

is the publisher of The

new spaper's parent

supported in part by

student-activity fees

copies may be purchased for \$1 each

One free copy per reader. Additional

Fax: 777-6482

organization. The

Gamecock. The Department of Student

Media is the

Gamecock is

Carolina. It is published Monday

STUDENT MEDIA Director

Scott Lindenberg **Faculty Adviser** 

Creative Director

**Business Manager** 

Advertising Manager

Classified Manager Sherry F. Holmes

**Production Manager** 

Creative Services Robbie Burnett, Sean O'Meara

**Advertising Staff** Adam Bourgoin, Ben Johnson, Ryan Gorman, Laytoya

Columbia, S.C. 29208

Advertising: 777-3888 Classified: 777-1184 The Gamecock

from the Department of Student Media. TO PLACE AN AD



## Rivalry runs in the blood

seems this Carolina-Clemson ha-

of teenage rebellion occurs, where

kids end up the unfortunate vic-



JOSH WATSON GAMECOCKOPINIONS@HOTMAIL.COM

#### Clemson hatred is an unquestioned mystery.

As a child in the early '80s, more than anything regarding USC, I remember falling witness to Joe Morrison and his Black Magic. Morrison navigated the Gamecocks through most of the 1980s, wearing all black and utilizing a fire-ant defense that most teams couldn't sneak by.

My parents, their friends and almost everyone talked about it, as though a kind of messiah, for a short time, was among us.

That inescapable legend, perhaps, now explains why I would consider it better to live as a leper than a Tiger fan. No admitted Gamecock or Tiger I ever met can give reasons surrounding their distaste for the opposing team.

The reasons, it seems, are usually undetermined. Still, it

tred is predetermined by the family unit in which fate places an individual. And unless some extreme form

tims of foreign brainwashing, they are likely to remain a disciple of one team for life. There is, however, the danger of growing up in a house of division, one parent Carolina, one

parent Clemson. Those situations are much too bleak to discuss. A new documentary written and directed by Jeff Sumerel and titled "Bragging Rites," dissects the myths, and wonderfully maintains an objectivism, with an equal number of interviews of

the fans, former and present

coaches, and past players of the

rival teams. Nostalgic photographs mixed with interviews give the century-old rivalry an indescribable full quality, which a single source or a small group of them might lack. Stories from former players, such as Heisman trophy winner George Rogers and Clemson player Jerry Butler, bring a camaraderie to both teams, which lets the film's audience realize how much plea-

sure these guys took from the Rogers, arguably the greatest time, tells how every time he has seen a Clemson player from his era they invite him to a picnic. When Rogers' inquires about the location of picnicking spot, his old rivals respond with: "Somewhere you've never been. The Clemson end zone. Despite the film, I still don't

Gamecock running back of all

comprehend the mental workings of a Clemson fan, and I assume a Clemson fan would think the same of me. This documentary is quite superb, even featuring Dr. Sorensen's views on the rivalry.

He mentions that sometimes he will meet a couple, where the husband graduated from Carolina and the wife graduated from that other school, and the wife apologizes to Dr. Sorensen for her alma mater.

Dr. Sorensen, a very polite man, whom I've met several times, replies, "You shouldn't apologize for that, ma'am. You should be proud."

I wonder what his response might be, if she asked him to name the best college football team in South Carolina. I hope his answer wouldn't be "Furman."

Watson is a second-year English

### Gay marriage hurts no one



JOHN RABON

#### GAMECOCKOPINIONS@HOTMAIL.COM It's time to reassess this "victimless crime."

I remember sitting in a Sunday school room back at my home church after the Supreme Court struck down the antisodomy laws and the widespread panic started over gay marriage.

I was probably the only liberal in the place, and if you ever want to feel like you're fighting an uphill battle, try to convince a bunch of conservatives that gay marriages aren't going to bring society crashing down around them. Not too long afterwards, our

President was quoted as saving he would seek whatever means possible to create laws banning this kind of union. If you could have watched me

read the paper that day, you probably would have seen steam coming out of my ears like a Daffy Duck cartoon.

This came as quite a shock to my system, and it insulted me to no end that our government would try to regulate and control the morality of individuals based on personal beliefs.

Isn't there supposed to be a separation of church and state in this country? Aren't we supposed to be entitled to "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness?'

I'm afraid I don't see where it says, "except for those we whose lifestyles or definitions of happiness we don't agree with.'

Before we go any further, I wish to point out that I am quite familiar with what the Bible says about homosexuality, and as a Christian myself, I won't disagree with what it says.

Now, I am not saying that we should allow for stealing, rape, murders, etc.; after all, these are crimes in which someone is unquestionably injured by the actions of another. These crimes tend to be uni-

versal evils, things that any per-

son regardless of religion would say are wrong. LET YOUR OPINION BE

HEARD. SEND A LETTER TO THE EDITOR AT gamecockopinions @hotmail.com

When two consenting adults choose to enter into a samesex relationship, there is no

There may be studies that say it has a damaging effect on society, but who's taking these studies, and how do we know there aren't similar studies that say the exact opposite?

victim.

Certainly if homosexuality is illegal, it is a "victimless crime," and it is therefore ludicrous to have secular laws against this

We choose the kind of lifestyle we wish to live. When we choose to become Christians, we also choose to accept all of God's laws. We also believe that God grants us a right to choose whether to follow His words. In my 20 years of churchgoing

experience, I have yet to find a biblical passage making it morally acceptable to force a particular lifestyle upon others. I don't think there's a legal jus-

tification to ban gay marriages. Laws should be made according to what's best for the most people, not according to a specific group's beliefs. A truly representative society

lends a voice to the entirety of its people, respects their opinions and never denounces any one person or group just because someone else does. Think about it.

Rabon is a second-year English

#### Submission Policy

Letters to the editor should be less than 300 words and include name, phone number, professional title or year and major, if a student. E-mail letters to etters will be edited. Anonymo letters will not be published. Call the ewsroom at 777-7726 for more

### College: When is enough enough?



JULIE COOK

#### **Overachievement costs** us more than our sleep.

We all heard the adages from our parents and high school teachers warning us that college is the last stop before the train of life derails in Realityville. We are almost duty-bound to pull allnighters, spend every night at the preordained cool college hangouts and bars, and maniacally devote our souls to the improbable success of our football team.

But recently my, dedication to all things collegiate has brought me to this question: Can you really experience all you want to from the college experience? And more importantly, can you survive it?

I know people who consider it a laid-back day when there's a halfinch space free in their planners people who are literally at every event, from student organization fairs to soccer games. I admit that I'm becoming one of those people.

It's what I wanted, but I can't remember exactly why. As an annoying kind of overachiever, I took most opportunities that came along, starting with that elementary school music club and continuing on to this very column.

I can whine about being busy all I want, but I ultimately chose to take on most every club, staff, team and job that came my way. I have always justified taking on too much by arguing (with my own over-taxed self usually) that all my accomplishments and obligations were making me "wellrounded" and, if nothing else, would at least impress the hell out of those college application

readers. But now we're in college, continuing to run from sunrise okay, 9 a.m. - to well after sunset and occasionally onto the next sunrise. And for what? Maybe grad school, but that's hardly a rationalization. Because we're irrevocably trapped in a rat race perpetuated by American culture? Possibly. Are we running from something? Afraid to be "out of the loop?" Afraid to be uncool,

alone or bored? As Def Leppard wisely said, "It's better to burn out than fade away." But do we have to do one or the other? Is there a sane medium in there somewhere?

Can you look back as a boring suburbanite with 2.4 kids and remember the "good old days" when you just went to one party a month? And didn't have a job, internship or work study, never chaired any committee, and never even heard the morning birds chirping from outside your dorm room window as you paced around your computer screen hopped up on espresso shots trying to finish a philosophy paper?

Is there a point when the quality of life you're chasing greatly diminishes the quality of life you're stumbling through? I think so. We want it all, but to what expense? Sleep for sure. Balanced meals without a doubt. Is all this just so that we can look back and reminisce? Or is it all so we can feel like we have truly accomplished what we've paid so much to do - go to "college?" Is this really the train's last

party stop or have we already crashed in Realityville, overexerted ourselves with responsibilities and distracted ourselves with fantasy? College is just one of many

stops, with its own pressures and expectations, best survived and celebrated with a degree of balance, somewhere between burning out and fading away.

Cook is a third-year art history and political science student.