

University policy on drugs flexible

"It's his karma" or "he's just trying to get his head together" is no excuse if you are busted for your roommate's dope. You may be Snow White and straight, but you may also be in a lot of trouble.

University policy concerning drug offenses has changed in the last couple of years along with the increase of drug users on campus. If your room is raided and dope is found the burden of proving your innocence is almost certainly shifted to you. It may belong to the guy down the hall, your roommate, or may have appeared as mana from heaven -- the mere fact that it is in your room with or without your knowledge is incriminating.

The University does not maintain that you are assumed guilty before proved innocent. They simply warn students to be careful and cautious in associating with anyone who uses

A University official said that in many cases the police search a suspected dope user and go after that individual. When a bust is made on campus the case is usually substantiated, and sufficient cause for the raid has been established.

If you are arrested, University policy dictates that you must move off campus until disposition of the charges made against you. The protection of the other students in your residence hall is the University's main consideration.

However, you may continue to attend classes.

You are not penalized academically and your basic rights as an individual and a citizen are respected. The University has been successful in humanizing the treatment of students arrested for drug abuse.

Drug raids will continue on campus and arrests will probably increase.

Do not put yourself in a position of "guilt by association."

And, if you smoke, drop, shoot or snort, realize the jeopardy to yourself and the burden of liability you are placing on others.

accenting OPINION

Justice is slow when hatred high

The wheels of justice have always churned slowly, but in the case of the Lamar 30, those wheels have practically stopped. Faced with the problem of prosecuting 30 of his neighbors, Magistrate Sam Chapman has chosen to ignore the embarrassing incident until recently. After black leaders from around the state made themselves heard, Chapman was forced to set the preliminary hearing for Jan. 26, nine months after the May 3 riot.

In many ways the incident is similar to the Emmett Till murder of 1955. Hopefully it will not end the same way. The murders of Emmett Till were acquitted by an all-white jury, even though several black witnesses identified them. Emmett Till, a 14-year-old black from Chicago, whistled at a white woman on a street of a Mississippi town. He was just visiting relatives and was not used to local customs. When he was dragged from his bed, beaten and thrown into the river, he learned.

The Lamar defendants may well have the same advantage. They may be tried by a jury who are not fully convinced that the defendants' crime is really illegal. White Mississippi on the whole found the killing justified, just as a large number of white South Carolinians find HEW's integration plans intolerable.

When the trial finally does get underway, the jury will be faced with a social issue as well as a decision of guilt or innocence. Since the case has already received much national attention, on the wire services and in Esquire Magazine, many people will be watching to see if South Carolina will try to use her courts to legislate against Federal Law or choose to administer justice fairly to both blacks and whites.

Final Exams

January 18-January 27

9:00 A.M.	
Monday	8 MWF
Tuesday	9:30 TTh
Wednesday	3:35 MWF
Thursday	9:05 MWF
Friday	10:10 MWF
Saturday	11:15 MWF
Monday	12:20 MWF
Tuesday	1:25 MWF
Wednesday	12:30 TTh
	4:40 MWF
2:90 P.M.	
Monday	8 TTh
Tuesday	11:00 TTh
	6:30 TTh
Wednesday	All Sections of English 101 and 102
Thursday	All Sections of Biology 101 (Fall Sem.)
	All Sections of Biology 102 (Sp. Sem.)
Friday	All Sections of Foreign Language 101, 102
Saturday	All Sections of Psychology 101 and 102
Monday	3:30 TTh
Tuesday	2:30 MWF
Wednesday	2:00 TTh
	5:00 TTh

Frankly speaking... by Phil Frank



©YOUNG AMERICA COOP. 1204 ASHBY RD. ST. LOUIS, MO

Love-Jesus's radical message

Dear Miss Manning: Mr. Dan Trotter has spent too much time reading Charles Dawson when he should have read Thomas Jefferson. "To oppose a tyrant is the will of God," was his motto. Let him admit that Christian, and other traditions, have been tyrannical.

Let Dan Trotter remember that humanism, Christian or otherwise, was based on Greek and Roman writings, and Christian humanism was furthered by the fictions of Dante Alighieri and John Milton.

Finally, it is vital that no one deny Jesus his radicalism. Love was his message; and sympathy was a part of it -- "Even as you do this to the least of these..." Remember he refused to judge a woman caught in direct violation of the law to help people. The only time he was known to get angry was when the church (synagogue -- he was Jewish after all) was turned into a profit-making enterprise by entrepreneurs.

I won't tell Dan Trotter to "judge not" -- but he should get his Christianity straight, and in the proper "radiclib" context. Perhaps he is too concerned with the integrity of his traditions, and not with people.

STEVE SKELTON

True sportsmen

Dear Miss Manning: We write this letter because, as South Carolina residents of several years standing (though students at U.N.C.-C.H.), we feel it our duty to comment upon the matter of the basketball game between UNC and USC, its conductance and possible significance. Moreover, we wish to praise the South Carolina Gamecock team and coach McGuire as examples of the true sportsman in America.

Firstly, our consciences compel us to apologize on behalf of the entire University for what must have been, to say the least, an upsetting experience to the entire South Carolina team as well as Coach McGuire. We realize that basketball players are sensitive, emotional human beings, and that when the supposed second best team in the nation is consistently

dominated and decisively beaten (to the tune of 15 points) by a team obviously not considered their equal, or at least not by them, a practically unranked team, a virtually non-New Yorker team (perish the thought), it is surely a traumatic experience. We can only express our undying sorrow, and hope that at some future time you may find it in your hearts to forgive us for our impolite and indeed ungrateful handling of the Gamecocks.

We consider it a pleasure, not a duty, to commend Mr. John Roche (a South Carolina guard) not only upon his sterling play in the losing effort, but also upon his truly amazing vocabulary. His obvious familiarity with certain portions of the English language, coupled with an outstanding oratorical ability, allowed Mr. Roche, during the last few seconds of the game, to completely enthral the first 15 rows of spectators in Carmichael Auditorium with his explicit comments pertaining to the canine ancestry and sexual mores of certain of the North Carolina players. We should like to say that Mr. Roche's actions certainly mark him as a true example of the South Carolina gentleman.

We should also like to thank Coach McGuire for another in his series of what must surely be some of the most entertaining comedy acts in the history of show business. We can truthfully say that such a performance was worth at least twice the admission price we payed last night. We respectfully submit to Mr. McGuire that perhaps his ture calling is in the role of professional comedian. It would seem that, singlehandedly, he could revive Vaudeville.

In closing, we must once again offer our apologies to Mr. Roche, Mr. McGuire, and the South Carolina team and student body. Next time, John, we'll try to go a bit easier. And we must agree with Mr. Roche's analysis of ACC basketball as expressed last year when he remarked that "it is all over for North Carolina schools." Perhaps, someday, the North Carolina schools, ours in particular, will realize it. Until then, we can only thank the Gamecocks for what was, to us at least, a most enjoyable experience, and issue a standing invitation to your team to

Making readers think purpose of editorials

By CHARLES FELLEBAUM News Editor

The ultimate purpose of an editorial is to make its readers think. Often, this can only be accomplished by challenging their set values and ideas.

However, many non-thinkers merely react to such an attack and charge that the newspaper is "biased" and "slanted."

It is correct to say that the editorial writer is "biased." It is wrong to attack the paper for being slanted. A newspaper may be charged with bias when editorial comment slips over into the news columns. Opinion does not belong anywhere except the editorial page unless it is labeled as such.

An editorial is someone's opinion about an issue, and the author is obviously going to be leaning to one side or the other. Anyone has the right to agree or disagree with

him, but one must remember that opinion is by definition a personal judgment about a particular matter.

Logical disagreement is (or should be) welcomed by good newspapers. The "Letter to the Editor" section of the editorial page is provided for this purpose. The editor has a moral and ethical duty to give his critics their say in print.

It must be emphasized that letters which do not disagree logically with a position are not considered valid criticism. One should criticize what he feels to be the editorial's faulty logic and invalid points, rather than harping on its "liberal" or "conservative" view.

A thoughtful challenge to an editorial makes one seem like an intellectual rather than a reactionary.

Earth's salvation calls for human sacrifice

By ROB BIGALKE Feature Editor

It has been suggested that if man were to stop right where he is, stop destroying wilderness, stop pollution, stop over-population, it would be too late to reclaim the world. It would be too late to replenish the vanished and vanishing species. It would be too late to reclaim de-forested, strip-mined land. Too late to restore the purity of the waters. Too late to re-establish the balance of nature, and all species will be doomed.

Obviously man cannot stop where he is. He will continue to demand food, clothing, shelter. He will continue to crave automobiles, high rise buildings and space craft.

He will continue to destroy a thing to which he has no right. He will continue to destroy a thing which is not his, a thing which can do very well without him, but a thing that he cannot survive

without, a thing which it is his duty to protect and propagate because he alone has the intelligence and the facilities to do so.

He will not stop. He will continue to run down a road which he cannot retrace. And he drives all other life before him. And once man is gone, the others will have gone with him.

But something can be done. It is a thing that has lain hidden in the minds of many, hidden simply because it is too "horrible" to consider. All our efforts thus far have been aimed at a salvation which includes not only all the lesser species, but also ourselves. It won't work.

Man has to go. By his very nature, if he continues in existence, he will go on as he has for thousands of years. There is no stopping him. As proof, the fact that even stringent laws have not stopped him from hunting the alligator, for instance, can be cited. He will continue to procreate, the species will continue to grow in numbers, he will continue to "go forth to subdue the earth."

That very thing, which bonds him to other species as an animal, the instinct of self-preservation, will spell not only his doom, but that of most other species. The sad thing is that as the situation becomes more and more critical, he will grow more and more frantic in his efforts to save himself and will increase the rapidly with which he destroys the other species.

When the last man dies, this planet will be barren. We will have taken everything else with us. So before this happens, we have to go. After all, we have proven through our negligence, that we are not fit to occupy the position we now hold in the pyramid of nature. And in business and politics, what happens to a man who is incompetent to the point of destroying the very thing which supports him? He is fired, removed from to a position where he can no longer do any damage.

In the case of man, the only position where he can do no damage is extinction. To those of you who will argue against so drastic a measure, I only say that decimation will do just as well. For the sake of the balance of nature, man must be eliminated. His demise will not upset the balance. On the contrary, within a

short time, say, 150 years, the balance will be well on its way to normality.

On the other hand, his continued presence only jeopardizes the whole system.

In fairness to the other creatures, we must do away with ourselves, not totally, but drastically. In fact, if 99.9 per cent of the human race were eliminated, the situation would be near perfect. There would still be 3,000,000 humans.

If there were a simple way of getting rid of two billion, 997 million humans, the situation, though unpleasant, to put it mildly, would be in good shape.

There seem to be two alternatives.

The first is the theory that a war will decimate us and alleviate our problems. Unfortunately, the type of war humanity has evolved to will of necessity take much nature with us.

The second solution is the more likely and workable of the two.

It is also a theory. It states that a famine and plague will end all our problems by decimation. Unpleasant, but workable when considering the necessity of preserving all other species. Starvation.

If there is any honor in our race, which is doubtful, then we will do something to help the other species before it is too late for all of us.

If God ever made a mistake, He made it when he created man.

It sort of destroys one's belief or faith in God when one considers what a mess He made when He put man in charge of all the earth. It puts to a severe test the omniscience and wisdom of the divinity. Upon consideration that man is on the brink of destroying the creatures that God has put on earth, God comes off as pretty much of a guy lacking foresight. Either that or as somebody without the guts to stand up for things that can't stand up for themselves. I mean, if you were god, and man was wiping out all these poor dumb animals, wouldn't you do something about it if you were all-powerful? You bet you would.

But then there arises another question. God is supposed to be omniscient, so isn't it logical that he knows that something else is going to happen to put everything right again?

Maybe God knows something we don't...

Letters policy

The editor reserves the right to edit letters for style, good taste, and libel laws. Send letters to The Gamecock, Drawer A, USC, Columbia, S. C. 29208

Letters to the editor should be brief, typewritten, and about matters of concern to USC students. All letters must be signed, but names may be withheld by request.

Library hours

January 27	8:00 a.m.	5:00 p.m.
January 28	8:00 a.m.	5:00 p.m.
January 29	8:00 a.m.	5:00 p.m.
January 30	8:00 a.m.	1:00 p.m.
January 31	CLOSED	
February 1	8:00 a.m.	5:00 p.m.
February 2	8:00 a.m.	5:00 p.m.
February 3	8:00 a.m.	5:00 p.m.
February 4	RESUME REGULAR SCHEDULE	

The existentialist

Bugged balls yield court comments

By HARRY HOPE Columnist

Unknown to all, secret space agent X-13 bugged all the basketballs used Saturday night and got some choice comments from the court.

"Hey, uh, did you know that I can recite 100 lines of the Iliad?"

"Go ahead. One of those Carolina guys is reciting his rosary, three 'Hail Marys' and singing Palestrina's 'Missa Assumpta Est'."

"Turn the volume up and try to get WCOS."

"Do you have a three?"

"Go fish."

"Yeah--that one. You see her, over by the post, in blue."

"Right. I see her. She does, huh?"

"Yep. Really does."

"Hey, Hey, you, gimme the ball. Hey, you, number --yeah, you, Gimme the ball. I'm dyin' of boredom."

"I sent that kid out to get us some Cokes. Have you got change?"

"No. Let me run back to the dressing room and get some."

"Hey, did you see 'Catch-22'? It was about this guy Yossarian, see, and he--"

"Did you ever notice the funny sound that clock makes? I was just standing here listening to it. Really weird."

"Wish to hell they'd do something--or we'd do something-- or something. I've just gotta go to the bathroom."

"Who's that guy over there that keeps straightening his cuffs and tie and looks like he's gonna cry?"

"My feet are killing me!"

"Then you take the string and loop it around you third fingers. Yeah, like that, only get it tighter. Right! Now you take the loop and--no, you dropped it."

"Four Aces! Gimme your socks."

"Right here--page 6-A. 'Snow White and the Seven Bearded Weirdies,' playing at the Star-night Drive In. Let's go."

"Yeah, sure, have a sip."

"Tennis, anyone?"

"Wait! Look, they've got the ball. We can play again! Hurry!"

"Look out, we've got it now and they're awake! Let's go."

Staff

- Copy editors: Lucretia Jones, Alice Potter
- Sports staff: Lewis Allen, David Driffin, Joe Genova, Billy Moore, Charlie Senn, Doug Williams
- Photographers: Bob Milkoski, Parker Renaud, Ben Baggott, Susan Burnett, Tony Chung, Molly McInnis, Lewis Phillips, Art Carter, Bill Norwood, Lewy Davis
- Advertising staff: John Goodwin, Tom Anderson, Bob Fullbright, Randy Jones
- Circulation staff: Terri Moll
- Secretaries: Anne Hightower, Karen Burnett
- Proofreaders: Bill Campbell, Bill Patterson
- Reporters: Margaret Swendsen, Jerry Calabrese, Harry Hope, Susan Grubb, Bob Craft, Wanda Hardee, Paul Harwick, Mark Brock, Carpenter King, Jody Homestead, Paul Lyons, Glonda Miller, Missy Green, Sherry Cloud, Dorothy Mastgault

Staff for sale call 8178



THE GAMECOCK is published tri-weekly during the fall and spring semesters and weekly during the summer semesters with the exception of University holidays and exam periods. Change of address for ms. subscription requests and other mail items should be sent to Drawer A, USC, Columbia, S.C. 29208. Subscription rates are \$7 per fall or \$3 per fall and spring semesters and \$1 for both summer sessions. Bulk copies are \$4 per 100. THE GAMECOCK this year received \$39,000 from the student activity fund, entitling full-time students to a subscription to the paper. Offices of THE GAMECOCK are in Rooms 308 and 310 of the Russell House on the University campus. Phones are 777-8178, 777-4249 and 777-4220. Second class postage paid at Columbia, S.C. Although THE GAMECOCK is published by the University of South Carolina, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the University, the student body or the staff.

- Editor-in-Chief: Cheryl Manning
- Associate Editor: Michael Ball
- Business Manager: Ute Huckabee
- Managing Editors: Scott Derks, Jim Farrell
- Sports Editor: Teddy Heffner
- Chief Photographer: Gene Haney
- News Editors: Charles Beebe, Chuck Keifer
- Feature Editor: Charles Fellenbaum
- Advertising Manager: Rob Bigalke
- Circulation Manager: David Lundgren, Steve Langer