Why should the black man wait? A black student asks

By ELEANOR COHEN Guest Columnis:

Why should the black man wait? To this question many questionable answers can be sought. The most typical answer is that the white man must have the chance to grasp and to adjust to the idea that the black man is indeed equal to him. For many years, the white man has lived in a world where he believed that the black man was truly inferior; and blacks, too, shared this belief because they were subjected to 'white supremacy." At one time, it was the dream of every black person to wake up one morning and find that his skin had suddenly turned white; for, in American society white was right.

Why should the black man wait? Another answer would be that the black man as an individual is not able, or he is not ready, to enter the mainstream of American society. He must first realize that the world of today is a complex mechanism. He must realize that perfection is the sought after ingredient of a modern society. He must open his eyes and realize that his cultural background has not prepared him for the complex problems that he will face and with which he must cope if he is to survive. He must realize that even if he does enter the mainstream of society and if he is accepted as an individual, there is no guarantee that he will not encounter a staunch conservative who will remember that he is an inferior "nigger."

Why should the black man wait?

A great many Americans, today, fear that the rapid demands of the black man will jolt the once solid foundation of American democracy. These Americans fear the demands of the now united black community as other people fear the threat of an oncoming eruption of a volcano, or an unexpected earthquake that demolishes homes and that kills human beings. They fear what the Black Panther Party has labeled as the "Black Revolution."

As an individual, I will now offer reasons as to why the black man should not wait. First of all, the black man has been in America from the very beginning. After the Revolutionary War, England

these truths to be self-evident: that ail men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." A consulted dictionary defines "unalienable" as the incapability of being sold or transferred. Yet, for several hundred years, the unalienable rights of blacks have been violated.

Another reason why the black man should not wait is the long endurance and the long tolerance of the behavior of the white man toward him. The white man should not be permitted to grasp or to adjust to the idea of black equality. Why? Because the black man was

"Young, black Americans feel that life is too short and that death comes too fast for them not to have their equality...they have seen the lives of their parents and grandparents end without equality; they have experienced the humiliations of inequality."

recognized the independence of the United States in 1783. Surely, we must know that the black man was present during this war; we must also know that black men gave their lives to the cause of American freedom---although they

Why should the black man not wait? A careful reading of several American documents will give you the answer to this question. The Declaration of Independence, with its radification on July 4, 1776, is one such document. An excerpt of this document reads: "We hold

not permitted to grasp or to adjust to the repeated humiliations subjected by whites. If the black man did not conform or adhere to

the most minute whim of the white man, he was brutally harassed and sometimes killed because of his rebuttal of these whims which were often termed "disrespect." Black women were sometimes violated because of their "disrespect." They were made to lie in bed and to conceive children whose fathers were unwanted.

Now the dream of the black man has changed. He no longer desires

to be white. He now desires to be recognized as an individual---a black individual. He once sang the words of the following song:

"I have a dream of a world of peace

A world where troubles and strifes will one day cease Where one man can be another man's friend

And it really won't matter about the color of his skin Lord, I have a dream.

I have a dream about the black and the white

When one day we'll all realize we're the very same in His

Where little boys and little girls walk hand in hand And there won't be any segregation in this land Lord, I have a dream."

Today, the black man no longer sings. The singing went unnoticed and had no effect on the American public. The black man now acts. He now plans his strategy and seeks equality through non-violent resistance to "white supremacy." Many whites say that the nonviolent approach is the wrong approach. Now that the Black Panther Party has resorted to other means, which are sometimes violent, they say that this approach is also wrong. But what is the right approach? Should the black movement come to a halt? Will this satisfy the white man? Perhaps the approaches of both black groups should be commended. Another excerpt from the Declaration of Independence would justify this. That excerpt is as follows: "That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; that whenever any form government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new

government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most like to effect their safety and happiness. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object, evinces a design to reduce them under absolute despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such government, and to provide new

In answer to the capabilities of the black man as to his readiness to enter the mainstream of American society, I need only to mention the accomplishments of several black Americans. Booker T. Washington and George W. Carver should be especially commended for their accomplishments in education and agriculture because these accomplishments were made during the latter years of the nineteenth and during the early years of the twentieth centuries. Contributions to the American way of life have been made by numerous other

guards for their future security."

blacks. Perhaps the most prominent in our century is Dr. Martin L. King Jr. He dedicated his life to the cause of all people, and he hoped for a better America. Upon entering the mainstream of American society, the white man should have no misgivings as to the encounter by the black man of a staunch conservative. The black man is able to meet the hostile expression "nigger" with an air of intelligent repulsion; for, before

the entrance into this mainstream,

he had been subjected to many humiliations and heartbreaking experiences.

The black man should not wait because of fear that their rapid demands will jolt the solid foundations of American democracy. Real fear will come into existence if the black man decides to wait; for, as each new generation of blacks and whites is born, tension will mount and America will again be faced with a war of internal strife. Every American knows

today that the young people of America, especially young, black Americans can't wait. The world becomes more demanding and more complexing as each day passes. Young, black Americans feel that life is too short and that death comes too fast for them not to have their equality. Young, black Americans have read the history of America since 1865; they have seen the lives of their parents and grandparents end without

(Continued to Page 5)

dd Bodkins



Letter

Black racism opposed, the same skin tone as mine.

Dear Mr. Wannamaker:

I have become increasingly interested in the discussion concerning a nauseating incident which occurred during a basketball game at our coliseum. While we are addressing ourselves to the problem I think it is time that I must advise you of one such incident which occurred at the Davidson basketball game.

You, in your editorial pages, have long been noted as the defender of the racially oppressed from the victimizing "bush hicks" who have invaded our campus. Well, I feel that it is time that I too be given the full protection of your noble rhetoric. While watching the Davidson basketball game I observed several "members" of the Carolina Community who did not seem to share the enthusiasm of the other students in the play of their team. In fact the only thing which they seemed to delight in was the play of one particular

player on the Davidson squad. In fact I would go so far as to say that they desired a Davidson win.

Being a white Southern I found this behavior quite strange. The only apparent reason for this conduct was racial in nature. For you see the particular player was of the same race as were the students involved. They were black. I attend the Freshman games and when they oppose an all-white team I have never found the urge to change my allegiance toward the visiting team. This, after all, would be white racism, something which cannot be tolerated in the 20th century. As has been pointed out in your editorials racism is a thing of the past which should have died there. You can now clearly see my dilemma. Not being a racist I find such conduct to be totally out of place. I have never placed allegiance to anything simply on the criteria that it represent only

I, for one, would suggest that the editors of The Gamecock in the future direct themselves not only toward white racism but toward racism whether it be black, white, green or red. It should not be the

place of a student to have to point

out such occurrences. To a

newspaper which in the past has

overly addressed itself to the

issues that divide us and not those

which unite us. The muckraking tendency of The Gamecock would be well suited to such a story. I would like to close by saying that I hold no animosity toward the individuals about v spoken. It is only that we must forget those things that have gone before us and start a new dealing with each other on a man-to-man basis. The ignorance which they displayed is not for me to critize--only for me to seek to rectify

Name withheld by request

through.

Letters

I like the South

Dear Mr. Wannamaker: I like the South (perhaps, I

should have whispered). I do not like the dogmatic narrowmindedness and hypocrisy of their

Pretending no "hotline" to esoterica, I have, nonetheless, traveled extensively (whatever that means) and discovered to my utter amazement good and bad in somewhat equal regional proportions throughout this mucha maligned country. I reckon (Grif talk) it is a tribute to the freethinking, moderate-minded Southerner that he endures Their Man Hoppe, Mike Krochmalny (a liberal columnist, by his own admission, even) and others of their ilk.

Forgive me, however, for pointing out an apparent masochistic tendency on the part of many Southerners who do not consider the day complete until they have uncovered a Negro or his white counterpart to obligingly insult these latter-day Simon Legares. Oh the burden of being a Confederate descendant! I have never owned a slave; I do my own thing; and, unlike Abe Lincoln, I consider the Negro my "social equal" in many respects.

Perchance your critics can point to the Northern utopia where integration has been sanctioned under the auspices of officialdom for over a hundred years? Nay, I think not. I think rather, dear Southerners, your critics have been shaking their self-righteous fingers at you all, while sweeping things that were not too pretty under the rugs up there in the "promised land.

So do not despair, Southerners. Take down your candid "white only" signs and follow the sterling example of the North. Utilize the devious subtle economic pressures of de facto like your Northern brethren. And, the most amazing thing of all is...you don't even need long hair to do it! KIP BLEVIN

Cheer up

Dear Mr. Wannamaker:

What is wrong with the students who attend the basketball games as far as responding to the cheerleaders when cheerleaders ask them

ticipate in one of the Gamecock cheers? It must be sort of embarrassing for the cheerleaders to yell out the cheers "solo." True, the student body responds wonderfully to the introduction of the players and to their excellent playing, and they certainly should. There is not a better team in the country---including UCLA---as we all know. We are so proud of this top-ranked team and Coach McGuire, but with the games being televised, etc. it looks like the students should be most happy to respond to their leaders and follow the cheers.

As an ex-cheerleader, I know how they must feel. Believe me, Clemson puts Carolina to shame when it comes to cheering at basketball games, much as I hate to admit it. How about admonishing your fellow students when it comes to cheering the Gamecock cheers at the basketball games?

MRS. S. T. JAMISON

Part of South Dear Mr. Wannamaker:

I feel that the topic of the playing of "Dixie" is being treated unfairly. This song is part of the South. It is not just a fight song, but part of our heritage. The playing of "Dixie" is a tradition in the South, and I whole-heartedly support it. I can think of nothing that brings fans to their feet with such rousing enthusiasm and spirit as "Dixie."

So, for those of you who feel that we who cheer to the playing of "Dixie" are "insentitive racists," take some advice: when in Rome do as the Romans. Remember, no one is forcing you to stay here. We love it.

BILL RICHARDSON

Not quite accurate

Dear Mr. Wannamaker:

In two recent issues of the Gamecock, statements appeared which while flattering are not quite accurate. First, Friday's editorial (February 6) related to the Student Union officers, the quote "four highly paid outside psychologists" is not quite accurate. Actually, two members of the Institute for Research on the Underprivileged gave their weekend time without remuneration because of their interest and concern. Two other outsiders served for fees that were collectively one third of the rate they as professionals receive for conducting workshops.

The second point is a small one. Though we love and live with the Psychological Service Center at 1619 Green Street, it was in fact the Institute's staff which made their services available for the workshop or learning laboratory. The term "retreat" probably is more descriptive of what armies and religious groups engage in. ROBERT V. HECKEL, PH.D. Director Institute for Research

on the Underprivileged

Senators get good seats

weren't misused

Dear Mr. Wannamaker:

In response to your Editorial Report of Feb. 11, I feel that a statement from Student Government about the allegations mentioned is necessary.

The copy of the Fall 1969 Hour-GPR master listing used by student government was to only be used by the athletic personnel at

the front table. Students were not permitted to check other students' GPR's. Student Government had asked for a printout including only name, Social Security number, and earned hours. This was not available, so a master printout was used since the only other alternative was for students to accept whatever was already printed on their fee cards; the class standings for about 1,000 students were corrected, incidentally.

As a matter of legislative policy any Senator showing up at the Coliseum before 9 a.m. receives seating of his choice for himself and his date. Tickets are not handed out for political favoratism. However, I do feel compelled to apologize for one (1) member of student government who did up-valididate a number of his friend's fee cards. These cards will be regarded as valid for the (Continued to Page 5)

CAMPUS CLUB SOUTH 900 S. Main

OPEN FOR INSPECTION

(Official Opening Will Be Announced)

GET YOUR MEMBERSHIP NOW!

Membership Will Be Limited \$3.00 Per Year

NO OTHER **ADMISSION CHARGE**

College Student Or Affliation I.D. Only Credentials Required.



CAROLINA CLASS RINGS

From Star Engraving

- . Same Ring As Block "C".
- . Can Get Greek Letters On Stone.
- . Not The Same Ring As In The Campus Shop.
- . *39.75 *10.00 Deposit Call

Woody Hinkle - 256-1323

The Gamecock is published tri-weekly during the fall and spring semesters with the exception of University holidays and exam periods. Change of address forms, subscription requests and other mail items should be sent to Drawer A, USC, Columbia, S.C. 29208, Subscription rates are \$6 per

year or \$3 per semester. Bulk copies are \$6 per 100. The Gamecock this year received \$37,000 from the student activity fund entitling full-time students to a subscription to the paper. Offices of The

Gamecock are in Rooms 308 and 310 of the Russell House on the University campus. Phones are 777-

8178, 777-1219 and 777-1220. Application to mail at second class rates is pending at Columbia, S.C. The

editor-in-chief is Jim Wannamaker. The Gamecock is published by the University of South Carolina