

## ODK Awards Day

"It is well for men to mark their admiration for greatness of soul and beauty of character and their grateful appreciation of service to mankind in public and private life by a lasting memorial of a man such as this to be a constant reminder of a life which showed forth those qualities and that service and a constant encouragement and inspiration to such a life in others."

Thus reads the inscription upon the Al-gernon Sydney Sullivan Award, the highest honor conferred upon a University of South Carolina student.

This presentation, along with other distinguished awards, will be made this coming Tuesday afternoon during Carolina's annual Awards Day ceremonies. The plaque is conferred upon a man and woman of the student body who have consistently given unselfish and loyal service to the University.

Although Awards Day is the only time during the year when the University as a whole recognizes distinguished members of the Carolina Community, student apathy toward this event has been appalling.

In the past officials of the administration have deemed this day important enough to suspend afternoon classes (a relief which most will agree they rarely experience) in order to enable those attending the University to laud their fellow students, and to note the achievements of their faculty.

One's presence during the ceremonies should not be too heavy a burden to bear, in order to show some sort of appreciation or recognition to those who have helped build the University, and who have helped spread its name throughout the United States by their often-denounced "extra-curricular" activities.

Past Awards Days have often seemed like Mutual Admiration Days. Few persons other than those receiving awards have been present to extend their congratulations, thus individual recipients find it necessary to clap each other on the back.

In order for Awards Day to retain its importance and significance on the calendar of University events, a student change in attitude will have to be directly forthcoming. It would be unfortunate if lack of student support were to rob Awards Day of its capital letters, and a big-feeling-inside from the individual recipients.

## Communism And The Negro

Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy and FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover are in direct contradiction over the question of communist influence in the Negro movement.

In a response which the Attorney General made to the Commerce Committee when he was queried about communist influence in the black man's movements and demonstrations, he described communist infiltration efforts as being "remarkably unsuccessful," and whitewashed Martin Luther King and other Negro leaders of connections with communists.

Hoover, in his January 29 testimony before the House Appropriations subcommittee, said, "Communist influence does exist in the Negro movement, and could play an important role in swaying masses without their realizing it."

He also added that the communist party tries to expand its influence among Negroes, and particularly has "sought ways and means to exploit the militant forces of the

Negro civil rights movement." The party, Hoover said, tries to use "what are often legitimate Negro complaints and grievances for the advancement of communist objectives."

The FBI chief also stated that the number of Negro recruits who might be attracted to party membership "is not the important thing." He cited the old Communist principle: "Communism must be built with non-Communist hands."

A confidant of the Attorney General, has revealed in a recent column that the infiltration of the Negro movements is "a very serious matter." He reported further that the "subject of the real headshaking is the Rev. Martin Luther King. His influence is very great. . . . Yet he has accepted and is almost certainly still accepting Communist collaboration and even Communist advice."

Who is catering to the favor of the electorate and who actually knows the ropes?

## EXCHANGE CORNER

### CHAPEL HILL

Education emphasis pledged by . . . Pr[a]yer . . . Judge L. Richardson Preyer pledged that, if elected for Governor of North Carolina, his administration "will always put education first." The speech was given in Chapel Hill's Carroll Hall on April 21, 1964.

### UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS

The Board of Regents at the University of Kansas voted to remove cigarette machines from

all the state college and university campi.

### BROWN UNIVERSITY

Hans Conried performed in Brown's Alumnae Hall on April 21. He "displayed his versatility in a series of readings which ranged from the Bible to the love lyrics of Heinrich Heine." He said that reading poetry to young girls is a traditional pastime and that he would like to pass on a few lines. He also asserted the value of memoriza-

tion of such lines; "then one would not have to keep the light on over the dashboard."

### EAST CAROLINA

Richard Honeycutt has solved the problem of parking on campus . . . he has heeded the advice once given long ago . . . he rides a horse to school. Nevertheless, the administration is planning to add a new rule about horses on the campus to their long list of parking regulations.

### BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Walter Lip(p)man is scheduled as guest speaker for Boston University's 1964 Commencement exercise.

### CLEMSON UNIVERSITY

Student drops out of school . . . On April 21 . . . Daniel O. Derrick crashed through the window of his dormitory while participating in a game of "hall hockey" and fell two stories where he landed on a concrete overhang, bounced and fell two more stories to the ground.

### STANFORD UNIVERSITY

Martin Luther King launched his All Western Civil Rights Conference at Stanford on Thursday, April 23. The major discussion point at the conference was the future "Mississippi Project."

### LENOIR-RHYNE

For any girls who wish to have original decorations for their rooms, they could always follow the lead started by girls at Lenoir-Rhyne . . . they decided to make use of their biology experiments . . . they "have very artistically used dissected worms as door decorations," and have added to the atmosphere by placing cow skulls at the head of their beds.

### SMITH COLLEGE

A current rule at Smith prohibits Smith girls from sunbathing at an angle of more than 45 degrees.



"I know I'm on the borderline, professor, but . . ."

## LETTERS

### No Honor — Who's To

#### Blame?

Editor  
The Gamecock  
Dear Miss Russell:

It seems as though *The Gamecock* takes it upon itself each semester to write an editorial on the honor system, or I should say lack of an honor system, at Carolina.

It also appears that it is the general consensus of opinion that we have no real honor system on campus. Students agree that it is because of the professors' attitudes while a quiz is administered, that is, constantly walking up and down the rows to make sure that no cheating is going on, that makes a true honor system impossible. Students are placed in a position of ten-year-olds — they are not expected to be on their honor, so why not cheat?

Signing the pledge is a complete farce. Anyone who will cheat will not hesitate to write out a few meaningless words then sign their signatures. If they do not sign, then they are in actuality giving themselves away. Who would be that foolish?

What is really sad is that in order for some students to pass a quiz they have to cheat. They have to get a copy of the quiz beforehand because their classmates have it, and it results in survival of the fittest. This is especially true in regard to quizzes that are sealed. If a professor would change his quizzes from semester to semester this sort of cheating would not occur.

In this particular instance it is entirely the fault of the teacher. Students cannot be expected to look at a quiz when it is available to them, especially if half the students in the class are doing it. If they were to be honest in this instance, they would perhaps fail the test after the sealing was done.

When and if Carolina will ever have a real working honor system is an often asked question. The first step to be taken is for the professors to get together and decide what they can do to combat the cheating problem and finally what they can do to attain a functioning honor principle.

MARSHA KANITZ

### Morality Again

Editor  
The Gamecock  
Dear Miss Russell:

We, the undersigned members of the Mathematics Department, have read with concern the views expressed by some students in recent issues of *The Gamecock* on the moral issue involving sex relations before marriage.

While we believe this subject is over-emphasized today to the point where an unwholesome atmosphere is created, we wish to emphasize that we heartily support the right of any student to express his views and would not prevent him from doing so even if we could.

Our primary purpose in writing this letter is to make it a matter of public record that we believe it is morally wrong for young people to engage in premarital sex relationships. Furthermore, it is our belief that our view is shared by the overwhelming majority of the students and faculty members of this University.

The writer of one recent letter invoked the Bible to support his

views on promiscuous sex behavior among young people before marriage. Using the Bible in this way is nothing new. The arch Enemy of God used it on at least one occasion to try to prove a point during the days when Jesus was upon the earth.

To hold that premarital sex relations are all right because some Biblical characters practiced it, shows a misunderstanding of the nature of the Bible. This Book portrays on its pages how people lived in those days. The good and the bad is told. If a person lived wickedly and has his name on the pages of the Bible, this does not mean that God approved his wickedness. How people lived and how they should have lived are two different things.

Since the Bible has been put on the witness stand in this matter, what does it have to say on the subject at hand?

Is it not significant that, when God created the first human pair, there is no evidence of any intimate relationship until God joined them in marriage? What about Acts 15:20? Or, I Cor. 6:18? In Mark 7:21 Jesus links pre-marital sex relations with evil thoughts.

If there were no Bible, we would still believe that sex relations before marriage are immoral and degrading. Since human beings bear the image of the Creator, they are expected to live on a higher plane than the lower order of animals. A member of the human race, unlike some ordinary animal, must have some inhibitions and must be capable of practicing restraint. To be able to do so separates him from these animals and places him on a pedestal above them.

The sex relationship between two people, joined together in marriage, is a holy relationship. To engage in it under other conditions is to cheapen it and place a ten cent store label on it.

David D. Strebe Raymond A. Lytle  
T. Hoyle Lee Herman W. Smith  
Eusebia Shuler R. R. Croston  
Jan List Boal W. W. Weber  
W. L. Williams Lillian G. Perkins  
P. K. Smith C. F. Martin  
Lorraine H. Williams J. D. Novak  
Hasell T. LaBorde Maye W. Sessions  
Marguerite Z. Hedberg

### Peace Corps

Editor's Note: *The Gamecock* always welcomes letters from courageous "Carolina Students" who refuse to sign their names.

Editor  
The Gamecock  
Dear Miss Russell:

The opinion that the University of South Carolina must have conveyed to the members of the Peace Corps was probably one of extreme rudeness.

I am certain that most people who read Bill Savage's (columnist) article on the Peace Corps must have read it with much uneasiness and embarrassment. It seems unfair that one student can create such a bad impression of so many other students.

Bill Savage did make many unfair generalizations, considering that one "neatly dressed volunteer, fresh from Nigeria" did not claim to be a public speaker. In my opinion this volunteer delivered an interesting speech of, as he stated his personal impressions, his life in the Peace Corps.

Bill Savage must take into consideration that all people are not as talented as he in expressing themselves and also that all, whether we like it or not, he does represent the Carolina student body in an indirect way.

A CAROLINA STUDENT

## Carolina Injustices Protested Again

BOB FRANK

WHAT is a student? Is he a statistic on the registrar's book? Is he a way to get appropriations from the legislature? Is he a source of money from parking violation fines? Is he an object that must be tolerated until a strange metamorphosis takes place and he becomes a graduate?—I contend that a student is a person, and as a person he should be accorded the rights and the dignity due him. Often on the Carolina Campus he is not looked upon in this fashion.

The Carolina undergraduate is looked upon by the secretarial and administrative staff of this school as so many children for whom they must make appointments and fill out forms. How many students have ever asked for one small favor from this autocratic group and been told that they were sorry, but things weren't done that way? I venture to say that they are in the hundreds.

I know of one case in which a student had an incomplete on one course at the end of the semester. This was through no fault of his own, but indeed was the wish of his professor. Everyone in the class was given one so that a project could be finished. The incomplete figured, of course, as an "F" would have, and lowered the semester GPR.

After the project had been completed and the grade of B-plus turned in, the student dis-

covered that this was listed separately and did not change his original semester GPR. When he protested that the incomplete was through no fault of his own, and that his semester GPR should not be recorded as being so low, he was treated as though he were some creature from Mars by the secretarial staff.

NEEDLESS to say he found no recourse to this injustice.

I don't think any better example of this will be found anywhere than in the infamous "Campus Cops." I don't need to describe to the hundreds of car owners the zeal with which these dedicated "officers" pursue their tasks, but for those of you who don't own cars here is a brief description which cannot do them justice.

FIRST of all, if a car is left at a meter, and one minute elapses with no money being deposited you are instantly fined two dollars (this is increased to three if the fine isn't paid right away). If you don't pay you may be suspended from classes.

If you should be so imprudent as to park in a no-parking zone you will have to pay a fine and have to catch a taxi out to Powell's to get your car. Why does Powell's always get to carry your car off? I guess that they get it on bids just like Slater gets theirs.

## Carl Hendricks

Is The Defeat  
Of Communist Forces  
Unnecessary?



TODAY a perplexing situation exists for the United States in the field of foreign policy and also in certain areas of domestic relations.

Americans are fighting and dying in Southeast Asia against a determined and well-equipped enemy. Excerpts of letters which a young American captain wrote to his wife shortly before his death (published in *U. S. News and World Report*) indicate what some "less informed" citizens have suspected for some time — that the "war" is going badly for us and is getting worse.

THIS, of course, is contrary to what the politically ambitious secretary of defense would have the electorate believe. It has been the Department of Defense's contention that the need for U. S. troops in South Vietnam would shortly be over.

Perhaps this view might have been justified with another of Averill Harriman's "neutralist" governments. Harriman's "neutral" government in Laos hasn't worked too well so far. Perhaps a "neutral" South Vietnam would fare better?

AN appalling fact disturbs us about South Vietnam. It is this attitude on the part of official Washington that there is no real need to defeat the Communist or Viet Cong forces — this is much the same attitude that prevailed in the Korean War over the obdurate objections of the late General Douglas MacArthur. The concept of war without victory and its resulting attrition seems a bit alien to this writer.

How can we expect other peoples to respect us if we do not speak and act with authority and force? How can we expect our American fighting forces to be trustworthy, brave, loyal and of high morale if we don't officially and morally support them and equip them with the necessary tools to do the job that needs to be done? In short, how can we ask them to die for nothing?

WORLD WAR II equipment was probably fine twenty years ago, but it now is somewhat obsolete and against modern equipment. Well, our boys over there just don't like it. One statement in one of the excerpts was particularly pathetic. It was a wish for some World

War II people to operate some of the equipment or show the present force now to do so. That is ridiculous!

Why is it that we must restrain our forces and short change them on equipment and manpower? Our enemy is playing for keeps and unless we start playing ball, we stand to lose a hell of a lot of prestige, bargaining power, and our own self respect.

SOUTH VIETNAM could become another Korea and we didn't go out to win that one either. In the long run we didn't — largely because the same sort of fuzzy thinking persisted then that persists now in the high offices of our national government.

Speaking of fuzzy thinking — how can we trust Nicky Khrushchev now when the record shows that we should not? Why should we limit our nuclear technology? Why should we shut down or reduce 500 military installations? Why should we even be thinking about doing away with the draft, or is this a move designed to get more votes?

WHO broke the last nuclear moratorium? What assurances do we have that Russia and China will give up their aim to dominate the world? In this day and time we must not allow ourselves to be weak in any military aspect; we must be strong, and direct and consistent in our dealings with the Communists. What is consistent with all-out support for Berlin and yet toleration for Castro?

The biggest crime of this generation is letting a national leader even think that he can enslave another country without facing the consequences of U. S. and U. N. intervention. The United States is the most powerful nation in the history of the world and it seems such a shame and waste to see it eroded from without and within by an alien force and ideology which cannot possibly compare with what the United States stands for.

IF the U. S. does not become the "peace maker" that many have fondly dreamed that she would become, then she will go down in history as just another power which rose and fell. Future students will be able to "compare and contrast" our civilization with that of ancient Rome or any other nation which is no more.



CROWING FOR A GREATER  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
Member of Associated Collegiate Press

Founded January 30, 1908, with Robert Elliott Gonzales as the first Editor, "The Gamecock" is published by and for the students of the University of South Carolina weekly, on Fridays, during the college year except on holidays and during examinations.  
The opinions expressed by columnists and letter writers are not necessarily those of "The Gamecock." "The Gamecock" encourages Letters to the Editor, but all Letters must be signed. Publishing does not constitute an endorsement. The right to edit or withhold from publication any letter is reserved.  
"The Gamecock" is represented nationally by National Advertising Service, Inc. The publication is a member of the Associated Collegiate Press, The National College Press Service, and the Intercollegiate Press. Subscription rates are \$3.00 per year.

EDITOR DONNA RUSSELL  
MANAGING EDITOR SHEILA REARDON  
BUSINESS MANAGER LARRY BARRETT  
Assistant Managing Editor Harriet Holland  
Advertising Manager Bishop Cochran  
Assistant Advertising Manager Wayne Weible  
News Editor Pat Roessle  
Copy Editor Susan Lindau  
Campus Editor Sara Elliott  
Feature Editor Carol Robinson  
Assistant Feature Editor Precious Zurlo  
Society Editor Reba Hutto  
Sports Editor Mike McCarthy  
Circulation Manager Carter Crewe  
Exchange Editor Winkie Young  
Chief Photographer Leon Bridge  
Business Secretary Ruthie Henderson  
Chief Reporter Sig Huitt

PHOTOGRAPHERS: Henry Robertson, Leon Bridge, Dave Underwood.

COLUMNISTS: Todd Wilson, Dave Henry, Carl Hendricks, Ted Narrow, Bill Savage, Julia Drake, Zazel Wilde.

STAFF MEMBERS: Kay Hughes, Virginia Maxwell, Harry Waldrop, Dickie Elliott, Henry Richel, Eddie Hoffmeyer, Jamie Smith, Marcia Delair, Jackie Callison, Betty Caperton, Em Heins, Linda Martin, Lisa Lennox, Nancy Jo Edwards, Susan Lindau, Efrid Keiser, Mike Camp, Kathleen Higgins, Gwen Taylor, Harriet LaBorde, Linda Corbell, Carol Price, Joyce Holland, Andy Orquinn, Bee Joe Garrett, Barbara Moore, Eva Edlesburg, Carol Price, Ann Burton, Dan Pruitt, Deb Watkins, Isahell Carroll, Linda Sharples, Wilson Hardy, Emily Wheeler, Diane Viglione, Paula Williams.