

The Spartan.

SPARTANBURG

THURSDAY, AUGUST 14, 1856.

GOVERNOR'S BILL.

Our mails leave so early on Wednesday, that we are compelled to defer a notice of the Bill at the Palmetto House, on Tuesday night, till next week. Briefly, we may say that the evening was full of enjoyment, and everything passed off to the satisfaction of the guests.

JEFFERSON CHOICE, ESQ.

It will be seen from Mr. Choice's card that his nomination to the Legislature was unauthorized. We suppose his position to be this: He is not a candidate by his own act. And, while he does not seek the position which his friends would elevate him, in the event of election he would serve the people to the measure of his ability.

SPARTANBURGER IN AUSTRALIA.

In the Melbourne Weekly Age (for a glance at which we are indebted to Mr. H. Mitchell) we notice that a complimentary dinner was given to the American consul, J. M. Tarleton, of Alabama, at which Mr. Q. A. Gilkey, formerly of Spartanburg, presided. Mr. Gilkey is associated in a large mercantile house in Melbourne.

THE ELECTIONS.

NORTH CAROLINA.—Bragg, Dem., is elected Governor by a large majority, and the Legislature is Democratic.

KENTUCKY.—In 26 counties the Democratic gain is 5,600.

ARKANSAS.—Yell, American, has been elected Governor.

IOWA.—The returns as far as heard from indicate that Iowa has given the Republicans 5,000 majority.

MISSOURI.—In six counties Ewing, American, has a majority of 1,007 for Governor. In five counties Polk, the Democratic candidate, has a majority of 1,000.

CAVALRY MUSTER.

We were present at Lancaster's on Saturday, and witnessed the cavalry muster.

The regiment was composed of—the Glen Springs Cavalry, Capt. G. A. Smith; Black Rock Troop, Capt. R. Gillman, Union Cavalry, Capt. R. Moebe; Brooks Chargers, Capt. Jas. B. Dillard, and Buzzard Roost Troop, Capt. Wylie.

When the line was formed by Adjutant Sims Col. Harlan assumed command. The regiment was reviewed in line by Brig. Gen. S. R. Gist, with searching scrutiny and conferences with the Colonel on deficiency in dress and equipments where either existed. This formality over, Gen. Gist assumed the functions of Adjutant and Inspector General, Adjutant and Inspector Gen. Donoran being detained at home by illness in his family; and repaired to the headquarters of the Commander-in-Chief, Col. Harlan, detailing a squadron of Cavalry as escort to his Excellency, who soon made his appearance surrounded by a brilliant staff, taking position at the flag. Among these we recognized Col. Sandley, Gen. Hunt and Adjutant Sims, of Newberry, Maj. Baker, of Laurens, and other Division and Regimental officers.

After interchanging salutes in military fashion, Col. Harlan put his troops in motion, and with admirable address went through the card of evolutions. It is no compliment to say that each movement was executed with precision, and the performance exhibited the possession of rare military ability by Col. Harlan and correct training on the part of the men.

At the close of the exercises the officers were ordered to the front and the troops massed to listen to a speech from the Governor. He commended their discipline, enforced the necessity of military knowledge as the sure reliance of freemen, and exhorted proper pride in all that pertains to the efficiency of the soldier. Adverting to the Kansas question, and the issues likely to grow out of it, he thought this was the time, of all others, to maintain our military system, and be ready to vindicate the interests and integrity of our section. The speech was listened to with great respect, and at its close was hailed with lusty cheers. Gen. Hunt and Col. Harlan were then called out, who addressed a few words to the regiment; which was shortly after dismissed.

We understand the Candidates entertained the people with speeches after the muster, but our inner man pleads so earnestly for attention, that we rode off to Glen Springs to appease the impatience. January we found overrun with company. We could scarce get a glance at his hospitable face. After much watching and struggling we secured a seat at his well-arranged board, and passed a pleasant evening in intercourse with the friends we met there.

In the evening a quiet and enjoyable little "hop" came off, during which we saw the Governor led through the mazes of the dance by a *fatyis* ladie of the neighborhood. Long before the "week hours" the house and its inhabitants were in repose—the latter apparently pleased with the sights and enjoyments of the day. We sought our solitary Cabins (thanks to *Ben's* foresight and recognition of an old acquaintance) and never parted eyelid till the Sabbath matins of myriads birds were warbled forth from many a leafy bower.

SCRAPS ON ABUSE.

There is an old Greek proverb which says: "It does not become poets to abuse each other like crones who sell cakes." And certainly much less does it become legislators of a great Republic.

The Journal of Commerce says in reference to the Sumner and Brooks difficulty:

"We would be the last to countenance the bitter personalities and wholesale denunciation which Mr. Sumner poured forth during two days, disgracing himself and the Senate."

"The wounds inflicted by the tongue on the feelings of an adversary are often more cruel and malignant than the fiercest violence committed on the person."

"A civilized nation will aim at being respectable as well as formidable."

"Few are ignorant of the precept among the Golden Verses of Pythagoras—'But most of all, respect thyself.'"

A European writer says:

"It most confuses that can hardly trust the allegations of the man who first, by rancorous invective provokes a challenge to a duel, and when the challenge comes, refuses to fight on the ground of religious scruple, or the barbarism or illegality of the ordeal."

YELLOW FEVER.

Some weeks since our Charleston correspondent stated that several yellow fever cases were reported as having occurred there, and much consequent alarm was felt among the people. No official information, however, on the subject was given to the public until the 9th instant, when the Board of Health authorized the City Register to report one case in the Marine Hospital.

The Carolina Times learns "through a private letter that the residents of the city are panic stricken, and already large numbers are preparing to flee to the Mountains to avoid the dangers to which they will be subjected by remaining."

TEMPERANCE.

The Greenville Temperance Convention assembled, as we learn from the Enterprise, on Wednesday last, Judge O'Neill presiding, and Henry Sumner, Esq., of Newberry, acting as Secretary. Delegates were present from Spartanburg, Union, York, Chester, Newberry, Abbeville, Charleston, Marlboro, Sumter, Greenville, and other Districts.

Col. Sumner, in dispersing the bogus legislature of Kansas traitors transcended his instructions, and has been called on by the President for explanation. We do not believe Col. Sumner would thus blunder as to orders.

THE COLLEGE.

The following paragraph is pregnant with meaning:

"The Legislature of the State have wisely appropriated seventy thousand dollars annually for the education of the poor, and they have appropriated about thirty thousand dollars annually to sustain the South Carolina College, where the sons of the wealthy are principally educated. There is a middle class in South Carolina, by far the most numerous class, who assist in defraying these educational expenses of the rich and the poor, and whose sons are deriving very little benefit from either the State College or free school fund. The sons of this middle class are educated at such institutions as the Charleston College, the Furman University, the Wofford College, the Enslin College, &c. These institutions are sustained entirely by private endowments. Is it not right and proper that they should, in their hand struggle for existence, receive some aid from the State, when they are doing so much in the cause of education for the sons of the State?"

(Greenville Patriot and Mountaineer.)

It must be well known that "aid" to the sectarian Colleges from the State will of necessity involve increased taxation. To stop popular clamor against the South Carolina College, and retain the appropriations annually made to it, it is here proposed to close the private establishments with treasury pay, and thus form a combination among the educational institutions to tax the people still more largely. The adoption of this unwise policy might in the present, aside from the unpopularity of increased taxation, operate no special disadvantage. We know how dangerous precedents are. A Baptist, Presbyterian, or Methodist college of day may secure a State bonus, and no discord mark public sentiment. But a few years hence—perhaps in less time—one or two Roman Catholic Colleges, arguing equal claim, (and certainly no objection would be valid) apply for a like gratuity—would it be accorded? We doubt it; and thus would be commenced an agitation dangerous to peace and good government. We hold that no State has the right to adopt any but a popular system of instruction for her children, so that those who would otherwise be deprived of rudimentary education may be benefited, leaving the wealthy to the facilities within themselves to provide education for their offspring.

If the axiom be true that government was instituted to protect the weak against the strong, surely the same rule should apply here—provide education for those who are unable to secure its advantages—not for those who possess means and to spare.

RAILROAD MEETING.

In pursuance to a card through the Spartan and Express, a meeting was held this day in the village of Spartanburg to appoint delegates to the Railroad Convention to be held at Asheville, N. C., on the 26th instant.

On motion of Maj. J. D. Wright, Gen. J. W. Miller was called to the chair, and J. W. Carlisle, Esq., requested to act as secretary.

The object of the meeting was briefly stated by the Chairman; when, on motion, the following delegates were appointed, with power to fill vacancies: Maj. Govan Mills, Simpson Bolo, Esq., Wm. Walker, W. H. T. O. P. Vernon, J. D. Wright, A. Tolson, T. Stobo Farrow, Jason Cannon, J. E. Bowie, S. N. Evans, Gabriel Carron, H. H. Thomson, W. J. Smith, J. McMakin, Gen. B. B. Foster, Dr. J. J. Boyd, A. T. Davis, J. Bankston Davis, Jefferson Choice, Dr. P. M. Wallace.

On motion, the President of the Spartanburg and Union Railroad, John L. Young, was added to the number of delegates, and requested especially to attend.

On motion of J. D. Wright, the proceedings of the meeting were ordered to be published in the Carolina Spartan and Spartanburg Express.

The meeting then adjourned.

J. W. MILLER, Chairman.

J. W. CARLISLE, Sec. Aug. 12, 1856.

TO THE VOTERS OF SPARTANBURG.

FELLOW-CITIZENS: In answering the questions propounded to the Candidates for the Legislature, in the journals of this District, I do not deem it necessary for me to discuss their several bearings. I shall therefore content myself with simply answering them as they appear, and give my views more at large whenever and wherever the people may desire.

1st. Are you in favor of further appropriation (should they be asked) for the Blue Ridge Railroad?

Ans. I am not.

2d. Are you in favor of giving the selection of Presidential Electors to the people?

Ans. I am, upon the ground that the people have a right to said election.

3d. Are you in favor of increasing the jurisdiction of Magistrates?

Ans. By making certain changes in the Magisterial system I think it would be decidedly better to increase their jurisdiction.

4th. Are you in favor of continuing the present large appropriations to the South Carolina College?

Ans. With my present convictions I am not.

5th. Are you in favor of any practicable reform of the present Free School System?

Ans. I am in favor of freely practicable reform in the Free School System.

O. E. EDWARDS.

TO THE VOTERS OF SPARTANBURG DISTRICT.

FELLOW-CITIZENS: Recognizing the right of the people to know the sentiments of those who seek to represent them in the Legislature upon leading questions of State policy, I readily respond to your interrogatories, with as much directness as their nature will admit of.

1st. Are you in favor of further appropriations (should they be asked) for the Blue Ridge Railroad?

I am not.

2d. Are you in favor of giving the selection of Presidential Electors to the people?

I am.

3d. Are you in favor of increasing the jurisdiction of Magistrates?

I am, with a court organized and restricted as to its jurisdiction to the parties concerned.

4th. Are you in favor of continuing the present large annual appropriations to the South Carolina College?

I am not.

5th. Are you in favor of any practicable reform of the present Free School system?

I am.

Fellow-citizens, you have my reply to the above interrogatories; and I will here take occasion to remark, that the opinions I now entertain upon the principles involved are founded upon conclusions come to while serving you in the Legislature, and are fully sustained by my votes, as they appear on the Journals.

Respectfully,

J. W. MILLER.

TO THE VOTERS OF SPARTANBURG DISTRICT.

As several questions have been propounded to the Candidates through the newspapers, and as I believe in the right of one or "many voters" to require the opinions of those who offer themselves as Candidates to represent them, I now proceed to answer the questions propounded:

1st. In the first place, then, I shall most certainly be opposed to any further appropriations to the Blue Ridge Railroad.

2d. I am fully satisfied that the people have the right of voting directly for Electors of President and Vice President; and as I gave my opinion at length, through the newspapers of the District, two years ago, upon this subject, it is only necessary to add that it remains unaltered.

3d. I am in favor of increasing the jurisdiction of Magistrates, and am fully satisfied that such increased jurisdiction, properly guarded, would be beneficial to the community.

4th. I have, during the period that I have had the honor to represent you in the State Legislature, never voted for the "large annual appropriations to the South Carolina College," believing that they transcended the limits of that prudent economy which, as your representative, I felt it my duty to advocate.

5th. I have always been an advocate of the Free School system, and should most certainly be in favor of any "practical reform" that would render it more efficient.

Most respectfully,

J. WINSMITH.

Some time ago the Greenville Patriot published an account of a horrid murder having been committed in a horrid place, in North Carolina, near the Georgia line, wherein the body was described as presenting a horrid disfigurement when found. It since learns that the supposed dead man wrote the account to throw the Georgia authorities off the scent. It was not so, however, and they have resurrected him.

Pryor, of the Enquirer, and Ridgeway, of the Whig, Richmond, exchanged shots near Washington, on the 6th inst., but without damage to either. They then resumed amicable relations.

[For the Carolina Spartan.]

THE SOUTH versus ABUSE.

The publication of the article inserted below demands the following explanation: It is known to the reading public that the New York Observer, which boasts of being the largest newspaper in the world, is a valuable storehouse of knowledge on almost all useful subjects. It is also highly evangelical in its religious sentiments—opposed to the various errors, isms and fooleries with which the present age has been afflicted. Of late years, it has been decidedly conservative as regards the subject of chief agitation between the South and the North. For these reasons it has obtained a large circulation in the South, as well as in other parts of the Republic.

To the amazement, however, of its Southern friends, the Editors of said paper—notwithstanding the professed national character of their paper and their experience of over thirty years upon the tripod—allowed themselves, at the outbreak of the Summer difficulties, to be borne wholly away by the sweeping torrent of popular fury which devastated the higher latitudes of our country. Their use of harsh and coarse epithets—the severity of their denunciations of the South en masse—their failure to find anything to censure in all of Mr. Sumner's course—their assumption of the fact, that instead of our suffering under grievous wrongs and provocations, the South was wholly in fault—their upholding freedom of speech, even to unbounded license—as essential to the existence of our civil institutions—and above all, their call upon the Editors of the South for information respecting Southern sentiment—to let them know, in a word, who they were of the South are all "ruffians," anti-liberty speech men and club law advocates or not! These several features of their course led me to believe that something in the shape of the following article was called for as a correction of the abuses of such freedom of speech as these men were advocating—putting largely into practice. It was written and forwarded to a friend in New York, who requested its publication in the Observer. The Editors declined. That friend then retained it to me, with the request that I would remodel it—remove from it all that had any reference to that paper—make it a general article—reduce its length, and send it back to the said Editors—adding that he thought they would publish it.

With that request, so far as practicable, I complied—made it general—no reference to the Observer's course remaining in it. And though I could not reduce it much in length without emasculating it, I divided it into two articles, and mailed it directly to them, a respectful letter accompanying it. Since that I have heard nothing from either of them. Whether they intend to insert it or not I cannot tell. But this, to my amazement, I have learned: that instead of inserting it, those Editors have, in their issue of the 31st ult., taken largely of the founder of the articles sent them, and woven it into an editorial of their own—thus at once anticipating or precluding the publication of my article, and yet giving no reference whatever to the source whence their new emotions and impulses sprang! Their heavy blows upon Mr. Sumner, and all that "kith and kin" by their insulting abuse of their "privilege" of speech, I cannot but highly approve. I must commend said Editors also for the facility with which they can change both their tone and their whole course of action; also for the promptness and vigor with which they have commenced the great work which I had pointed out to them as their incumbent duty—"the reform of the morals of public debate"—for in good sooth, they have already taken the course which I have indicated! Still, as I cannot but regard it unfair in them thus to declare "freedom of speech" to me in their columns, for the sake of using my thunder to which two others will be added by the first of October next. In the meantime, the Directors of the Charleston Railroad, at a late meeting, have made a considerable reduction in the freights, and say they will make a greater reduction as soon as they shall have put more stock (motiva power) on the road, so that it is likely that between the boats and the road a competition will arise that may bring down freights to the lowest point.

Though the amount of cotton sold in Columbia is below what it used to be in years past, the commercial property of the city is not thereby injured. A heavy retail business from the numerous mechanics amongst us, as well as a wholesale business from the country, have more than balanced the loss. Many of our leading merchants sell heavy bills of groceries and dry goods to mercantile houses in the country; and I am informed on good authority, that the sales of our shoe merchants for the past year amount to more than \$150,000, (an hundred and fifty thousand dollars.) In addition to all this a new business has been introduced into Columbia, namely the importation of corn, flour, bacon and lard from Chattanooga and other places; and under the operation of this trade provisions are abundantly lower here now than they are in Baltimore.

For a number of years past the Candidates for the Legislature in Richland have disregarded all questions propounded to them in view of their future political conduct; but the silence of the past is virtually condemned, and the Candidates who before the people have spoken out and answered boldly the interrogatories put to them; and whatever may be our opinion of the wisdom or necessity of some of these interrogatories it is gratifying to see men come out fully and fairly on any subject, and not remain dumb like an Egyptian Mummy. Another subject of gratification, too, is so far discernible amongst us—the vote market is unopened. Candidates thus far being unwilling to purchase.

As your readers may wish to know whether or not the subjects of our solicitude be the same as yours, I am happy to be able to gratify them, having your queries and ours now before me, and you will believe, if they are totally different, so true it is that "every heart knows its own troubles."

We worry not ourselves about the Blue Ridge Railroad—we say nothing on the subject of Presidential Electors. We leave the magistracy as it is, in the hands of the Trustees, and the Free Schools to the care of the Legislature. Umph! say one, what then have you to complain about? Why, my friend, we are troubled about many things. "We want the river opened from Granby to the old ford near the Columbia bridge; we want the Railroad bridge below Gadsden elevated much higher, so that steamers can pass under it; and finally we wish the Legislative Candidates to say whether they are in favor of law and order or not; as if they were such gumps to declare in favor of *randyism*. Hal! hal! a pretty question that! The devil himself preached good doctrine in public.

Yours, truly,

NICK BOTTOM.

TEMPERANCE.—The Greenville Temperance Convention assembled, as we learn from the Enterprise, on Wednesday last, Judge O'Neill presiding, and Henry Sumner, Esq., of Newberry, acting as Secretary. Delegates were present from Spartanburg, Union, York, Chester, Newberry, Abbeville, Charleston, Marlboro, Sumter, Greenville, and other Districts.

Col. Sumner, in dispersing the bogus legislature of Kansas traitors transcended his instructions, and has been called on by the President for explanation. We do not believe Col. Sumner would thus blunder as to orders.

WASHINGTON BARRID.

SPARTANBURG S. C., August 12, 1856.

[For the New York Observer.]

SPEECH—ITS FREEDOM AND ABUSE.

MEMOR. EDITORS: Your several articles upon the "Freedom of Speech," and the recent collision between Messrs. Sumner and Brooks, have been read with attention and with respectful regard for yourselves as their authors. From some of these views multitudes of your readers widely dissent, and none a few have they filled with astonishment and pain. And, although I do not claim to your hands the large liberty of speech which you advocate for others, I would most respectfully request a hearing on several of those points, as an act of common justice to a large class of your fellow-citizens whose views I know coincide with my own.

To my mind the inference is irresistible, from reading your articles, that you regard all those who differ from yourselves on that subject as enemies to the "freedom of speech"—plotters of treason against the highest interests of this republic—yes, of the whole family of man—as "ruffians" also, whose wish is to substitute club-law for debate." If these were your views, I affirm that few men have ever fallen into a greater mistake, and that the men may be reckoned by millions to whom you have done the greatest injustice. "Freedom of speech" is the cherished birthright of the American people. You cannot find a son of Adam upon this continent—certainly not one that has an American heart in his breast—who desires to see true liberty of debate, such as the Constitution contemplates, restricted—much less done away—and "club law" installed in its room. Not so, not so. But I will tell you what you may very easily find. You may find millions who dissent from your definition of "Freedom of speech" and your manner of advocating it—millions also who have deeply regretted to learn that the conductors of a religious journal so able and highly conservative as yours—once doing so much also for the promotion of almost every good cause—should have been able to find no dividing line between proper "freedom of speech" and its unwarrantable and ruinous abuse—the opening of the sluices of vituperation and malignity over which they would not throw the reins of legal protection, and around which set up their own strongest moral defenses!

You have quoted the Constitution of our country, ransacked history, and—strangest fall—quoted the Bible, to prove that no restraint can, without criminality, be laid upon the "freedom of speech"! I shall not traverse so large a field—nor is it necessary that I should. All the better instincts of man's moral nature are against your position. The "book divine," from the beginning to the end, teaches its very reverse. You have shown what every one knew before, that on a certain occasion Paul was "permitted to speak." But you have failed to present—and you cannot find in all the Book of God—a direct permission given to Paul, or any other man, to abuse his fellow-men, either for his own gratification, or the supposed promotion of his cause, be that cause religious or secular! On the contrary, the "bridling of the tongue"—that

is, the restraining of it from all manner of evil speaking—is not only strongly enjoined, but commended as one of the highest attainments in civil life and christian character. As an example, one out of a thousand of like import, I select the following: "Be courteous." Also this: "Let all things be done decently and in order." I maintain also that those injunctions are of universal application. Can any man point out either time, place or state of things in which our conduct is not obligatory; or in which anything courteous can be done or said without criminality? The injunction also that "all things be done decently and in order" is equally without limitation.

I hold, moreover, that outside of the pulpit and the great councils of the church, there is no place in which gravity, dignity and decorum should be held in higher requisition than in the Senate of the United States—that place of high honor and fearful responsibility, in which not only the highest interests of our own country, but the destinies of other nations, are the grave subjects for discussion and decision! In reviewing also the recent transactions within those walls—part of which you so severely censure—I have thought that if chaste, lovely and Heavenly minded genius which was born to patronize and preside over the "decorum of speech" and the "order" of public affairs, had been hovering in her place during the delivery of the speech which caused the castigation, her fine sensibilities would have been deeply wounded—the blush of modesty and decency would have glowed upon her cheek, and in her agony of spirit she would have exclaimed, "et tu Sumner!" Alas, that my efforts in your behalf should have been so ill-requrited and so utterly in vain! To say the least of it, that was a very "indecent" speech. There are millions of people in this country, as well as in other parts of the world, who will regard it, its abuse also as much out of "order" as it was irritating and offensive. No man has any right to abuse his fellow man under any circumstances. What then shall we think of a man occupying the highest position of legislative dignity—a member of that noble embodiment of the rights, the interests and the national honor of the several confederated States of this Republic—so far forgetting or wilfully outraging all the proprieties of such official station, and all the established usages of such bodies, as to pile insult upon one of the States of the Union, represented upon that floor on a footing of perfect equality with his own? Nay more—to speak in an exceedingly offensive manner of his oldest and most venerable Senator in his absence, not only from his seat, but from the city? Daniel Webster, the illustrious predecessor of Mr. Sumner, would have had his tongue cut from his head rather than to have been guilty of such outrages! It was also upon South Carolina—the same gallant State which the present Senator so abused—that Mr. Webster, even whilst in the high conflict of debate with one of her chivalrous sons, bestowed one of his highest epithets, as the glorious colleague of his own State—fighting "shoulder to shoulder" with Massachusetts in the fearful but eventful struggles of the Revolution!

I mention these things to show how other and greater men—and I might extend the list to scores—may hundreds, of the noblest who have ever borne the public honor upon their shoulders—have always regarded and treated their co-laborers, their fellow-officials, and the several co-ordinate States. It was for Mr. Sumner first—and his is yet the solitary example—in his place upon the Senate floor, and in a deliberately written speech, to attempt to degrade a State—one also of the old "thirteen" immortal States—to depths of infamy beneath the name of "STATE!" Yes, this was done by a Senator from Massachusetts—the direct successor also of Mr. Webster! And yet, gentlemen, all this pass with you for nothing; nay, it must be "all right" and commendable; for you not only effectually shield it under the canopy of constitutional protection, but you load with severe epithets, and hold up "consequences" in terror, over those who have felt themselves aggrieved at this treatment! In this ancient fable is realized the vast difference shown between one's own—oh and say "other man's" cattle. A moment's reflection might, however, assure you, that there are a vast many citizens of this republic—those also of as high respectability as read your paper in any part of the Union—who have viewed the whole matter very differently from what you have done. They would scorn the dishonor done to his State and her absent Senator. Much more, than, must they despise and scorn the man, who, taking advantage of his supposed impunity as a Senator, could descend to such treatment of either their own State, or of any other member of the confederacy. It is their settled conviction, also, that even if the Sumner speech did not wholly merit the Sumner castigation, it very naturally led to it. In other words, it was just such retribution as may in any such case be expected.

So long as human nature continues human—so long as wrath begets wrath, and severe personal invective calls forth resentment, it were the crowning of absurdity to suppose that the emptying of full vials of doubly distilled abuse could be done with impunity! May also of the law-abiding, peace-loving readers of your articles, deprecate your manner of advocating "freedom of speech," as necessarily tending to increase licentiousness—give chartered rights to foul tongues, and of course multiply such inflictions in return, as vast multitudes in all parts of our country, although they abjure the principle of retaliation, fully believe such licentiousness richly merits.

And just here I take occasion to expressly dissent "into cold" from your exposition of the exceedingly vague clause of the Constitution in which it declares of members of Congress that "for any speech or debate in either House they shall not be questioned in any other place!"

You regard this as a license—nay, a sure guarantee for immunity in the utterance of whatever any four-mouthed member of the American Congress, however degraded its character may become, shall please to utter. You maintain also that this privilege—a this wholly unrestricted license—is essential to public freedom." Nay, you go further, and class with the most odious "tyrants" and "inquisitors" all those who would in any manner whatever infringe this supposed sacred right!

Let us now examine this matter. The Constitution, like all other great embodiments of fundamental law, was, in the estimation of its authors, founded on reason and justice. Its supreme respect also was to the "Salus Populi," and the great interests of the confederated sovereignties. "Freedom of speech," then, so far as reason, justice or the public weal demand, they must have intended to guarantee to all the members of the National Legislature. And from the propriety and importance of this right and this guaranty, no man living, so far as I know, dissents. But I deny that either reason or justice or the public weal ever demands abuse. Nay, I maintain that abuse is always violative of reason and justice, as well as detrimental to the public weal. The intention of the framers of that instrument must then have been, to guaranty to every member of Congress the right, free from molestation, to present, support, or discuss any subject or measure which in the judgment the interests of his constituents or the public weal calls for, also to oppose by legitimate arguments whatever measures, proposed by others, he may believe detrimental. This, and only this, could the framers of that instrument have in-

ended by that clause. Beyond this there can be no need of any immunity. It is certain also that the freedom of abuse they never intended to sanction. I maintain then that true constitutional—say, and scriptural—"freedom of speech" has never yet been denied in our country, nor even endangered. The supposition or intimation that there are, either in the South or any other portion of the Republic, those who desire its abolition, and advocate the employment of force and violence for that purpose, is at once absurd and wicked. There are those who, for their country's honor—and for the sake of good morals and the public peace and welfare—have greatly desired to see the abuse of speech corrected—none that its proper freedom should be restricted. And here you will allow me to say, that if you desire to see true scriptural principles established as the rule of both public and private life, the whole moral force of your able journal should be directed primarily and chiefly to that end—against those abuses and in favor of their reformation. Mr. Sumner's speech is before the world. It shows for itself. And it is just such a speech as nineteen twentieths of Adam's family this country over, and the world over, will, when the hour of calm reflection comes, condemn as not only beneath the dignity of a Senator, but as needfully, if not unassurably abusive. So long then as you uphold that kind of public debate, and attempt to throw bulwarks of defence around those who employ it, the results of your efforts will be to multiply cases of violence, relax men's hold upon the principles of the Bible, and install in their stead the code which you have so severely denounced! This is just as certain as that there is a heaven above us. As proof of it I will give you a fact, let us say. That the idea of perfect immunity emboldens even timidity into audacity! It was when the kid had reached an eminence to which the wolf could not climb that it exhausted its vocabulary of abuse upon its otherwise deadened foe. And so of the parrot when swung from the window of a four-story house in the city, and surrounded by the bars of its rich master's cage—its abuse of the old African was unbounded. Cudjoo's sarcasm of "Craven coward, if you were in the bush where your father lived, you would not talk so to me" was not only what he knew to be the truth, but it was only a part of the retributive justice which he had for it store! Those fables are true to the life of other nations than the brute creation. Their plain English is, that in ignoble minds abuse naturally, if not necessarily, results from impunity! But I infer from you late articles that, yourselves being judges, congressmen are not all noble minded. Now that you have it, it is hoped, ceased your heavy denunciations of the vast multitudes who, in many parts of the country, "acquiesced" in the retribution visited upon the head of Mr. Sumner for his abusive speech, it is proper that you should know why they did so: it was simply because they believed it "a merited retribution"—not that they sanctioned the principle! These are the declarations of thousands: "It," say they, "there are no laws to restrain abuse—if neither sense of honor nor regard for the decorum of civilization or the precepts of christianity will restrain the false and foul tongue—if the innocent and the absent are to be thus wantonly assailed and abused—if it is the only course that is left us." Thus you see, gentlemen, how your upholding the Sumner conduct—while your denunciations, kept up until drowsy against such assaults, so long as such provocations continue—will be nothing but wasted indignation and labor—tends to the establishment of the very principles which you aim to overthrow! "Freedom of speech," as your article defines it, is synonymism with freedom of abuse. But secure perfect immunity to the freedom of abuse, and you open sluices which must sweep away the foundations. For you may rest assured that so long as such licentiousness of speech as was claimed and exercised in that Senator's late speech is the order of the day, so long will the second order of the day be as it then was. In other words, the retribution by some violent shape will follow, and that despite whatever laws may be enacted to prevent the recurrence of such scenes, or whatever fatalities certain public presses may utter against them after they have transpired!

But, according to your own showing, this government cannot endure many more such shocks as it has lately received! And yet I wholly dissent from your assertion that the whole criminality rests with those who resent the like gross and intentional insults. The Constitution, in the very article which you have quoted, makes members of both Houses of Congress liable to arrest—say, delivers them over to the hangman's halberd, for plotting against the government. I invite you, then, to review your own picture of the results of Mr. Sumner's speech, and ask yourselves if there be no moral teaching in the intentional and unmeasured use of epithets, such as must fill millions of hearts with venom—excite revengeful feelings of demon brood—array State against State—yes, subvert the very foundations of the republic! Such results in part, have already been witnessed from that speech—the remainder you seem to apprehend may soon follow. And yet, not a word of that abuse was called for; nay, both the force of his arguments, and the strength of his cause, would have been enhanced by a more courteous manner. I trust, then, gentlemen, that upon a calm review of this whole matter, you will agree with me, that the remedy for the evils which you so deeply deplore—and the only remedy which, in the nature of the case, can ever prove effectual and abiding—is to REFORM THE MORALS OF PUBLIC DEBATE! And this, it seems to me, will be best done by elevating and refining public moral sentiment as regards the use of abusive language in debate; and then, removing all other shields and defenses from the conduct and the persons of legislators than such as the laws of the land throw around their citizens. Americans want no licensed slanderers—no privileged class of abusers of their fellow men! Here, then, you have a noble cause, and a glorious field for effort. For that reform also every christian should most devoutly pray; and every conservative and patriotic press should zealously and unremittingly labor. That done, there will be no cause for either "body guards" or "censures" in the capitol! Men's own character, and the omnipotent support and protection of a strong and united public sentiment, will then afford all the immunity that either the personal independence of the individual man, the honor of the State, or the public interest ever demands! Yours, truly, NO ABUSE.

KANSAS CONTENTED ELECTION.

This case has at last terminated in Congress, by ousting Gen. Whitfield from his seat as Delegate from Kansas. The committee on elections declared both delegates, Gen. Whitfield and Gov. Reeder, illegally elected, but preferred Reeder, and moved to oust the one and admit the other. The vote against Whitfield was—years 110, says 92. On the motion to admit Reeder—years 88, says 113.

A PREDICATOR.—The New York Day Book predicts that Buchanan will carry every Southern State, and Pennsylvania, New Jersey, New York, Connecticut, New Hampshire, Maine, Michigan, Iowa, and Illinois.

The civil and diplomatic appropriation bill, as passed by the House, contains a proviso that no moneys shall be applied to Kansas till the pacification of that Territory is complete and all prosecutions for treason arrested.

REU.—We notice a "good" correspondence in the Asheville News of Aug. 7, which is specially rich. S. C. Bryson, it seems, had indulged in disgracing language of R. O. G. Love, of Heywood county. The latter sent him in Waynesville and caused him. A challenge was the consequence. The time, place, and weapons are thus specified in the acceptance of Mr. Love. They certainly are unique: "Weapons—ones of the same standard quality as that used by me on the 15th instant—nos to exceed one inch half in diameter, nor to weigh more than two ounces. If you desire it, sit, I will furnish the cases and allow you choice. The code that you recognize as binding on you gives me the privilege as the challenged party to give the weapons, &c., and as it was a case that gave the offense, I have determined that we shall end it with canes." "Time and place—August 2d, at Groom's Cabin, in Cooke county, Tennessee, at the termination of the Sabbath's Creek and Tennessee mountain turnpike route, the progress of construction, as soon after breakfast as it may be convenient for the parties to appear. The point I designate can be easily and comfortably reached, as you are well aware, and besides that, no one will be there to prevent us from fighting as long and as hard as we may wish to. When the time for combat has so much trouble to get a fight, they ought to select a good place and have a sufficiency of time to knock it out. The place you will be pleased with, and as for time, I have no doubt we will have plenty of it."

NINETEEN-DAY WHEAT.

In the spring we distributed among our agricultural friends twenty-odd papers of 90-day, or Spanish Wheat, but have had no reports as to the yield where success followed the planting. As it proved to be a bearded variety, our farming friends cannot take it into favor. We hope, however, to hear their experience with the article.

A correspondent of the Knoxville (Tennessee) Courier gives that paper the following account of his experiment:

"I suppose I had about as much seed as would fill a common table spoon. It was planted on the 15th day of February last, and gathered on the 7th of July inst. Thus you observe, mine required about four months and ten days to mature. It was planted in rows about 1 foot wide and the grains dropped about four inches apart. I gathered a little over one northeast corner of the garden, in a good, well-loose soil, without any manure, although it had been very well manured the year before. The straw grew to the ordinary size and height, each head being about the size of a small apple, or rather equal called wheat. I most put there, a small quantity of clean water, after the birds and chickens had taken their part. The grain is light colored, full and plump, indicating a good kind of wheat."

ON THE SUMMER.

A notice appeared in a late Lancaster Ledger that Rev. J. H. Thornwell, D. D., yielding to the earnest solicitations of the people, would deliver an address on education and the South Carolina College, on Monday last, in that village. Pretty direct interference—an Ex-President of the institution!

The New York Observer says: "The present session of Congress has thus far been marked by more that is offensive to the moral sense of the country than any other in the history of the Republic." Reason: There never was so many Black Republicans in any former Congress!

The commencement of Furman University took place last Thursday, Rev. Mr. Brantly delivering the address to the societies. Rev. James P. Boyce delivered his inaugural, which the Patriot highly lauds.

Up to the 4th instant Gen. Lane and his abolition army had not entered Kansas. Gen. Smith threatens to declare martial law should they do so.

The lax administration of law in Cincinnati threatens to give birth to a vigilance committee like that at San Francisco.

Something out of the common order will take place at Concord, N. H., on the 3d September next. A convocation of mutes is to assemble to see an oration delivered by Laurat Calves. Mr. Gallaudet is to interpret to the hearing.

On the 13th July the emperor of Austria was delivered of a princess. A salvo of 21 guns proclaimed the joyful news. The Emperor granted an amnesty to political offenders, and forfeit estates in Hungary and Transylvania were restored.

Howe must have been used for three years in Austria. In that time 4,925 horses have been slaughtered, yielding 1,902,000 pounds.

A late French journal speaks of a new religious sect springing up in the United States, which it calls "adorateurs des negres." This is the French for "nigger-worshippers."

Senator Pratt, of Maryland, (Whig,) is out in a letter for Mr. Buchanan. His colleague, also a whig, coincides with him. According to the reasoning of a contemporary Buchanan must be a whig.

The lower House of Congress (we are not certain but below house would be the better term) passed a bill on the 6th giving Mississippi 1,500,000 acres of public lands for railroad purposes.

The Union District Agricultural Society held its first fair on Wednesday last. The Journal speaks encouragingly of the display.

The Congressional Districts represented by Brooks and Keitt contributed \$1,000 to pay the fine of the former.

A company of foreign emigrants left Sumterville on the 4th for Kansas, armed with—Bibles!

Evangelical.—The Conference Committee on the Spartanburg Female College, in their official report through the Christian Advocate, promulge the following sentence:

"We are happy to be able to say that Professor Taylor will most likely remain with the Institution, as Mrs. T. health will not admit of his return to China."

We suppose the Committee do not rejoice that our popular professor's lady is in such delicate health as to preclude her husband's return to China, although it reads very like it.

A correspondent sends to the Pee Dee Herald the following cure for snake bite: A lump of alum the size of a nutmeg, either dissolved in water or chewed and swallowed. It has cured in several known cases.

Yale College has conferred the honor LL. D. upon Sumner. We presume the initials mean long-legged defamer.

THE BALL AT SPARTANBURG.

This great ball comes off on the 12th instant. The Governor and suite, the Generals and suites, the Colonels and Captains, civilians and ladies, beaux and belles, will all be there; while we are held at home in dress white and cannot be allowed to participate. We thank our cousins of the Spartan for their care of us. Nothing would afford us more pleasure than to be present on the joyous occasion of the Governor's Ball. But know ye, that we have an extra equity court for next week—yes, an equity court in the midst of the dog-days! Think of that, Tom Vernon, and pity white you excuse us. [Edgefield Advertiser.]

MR. BROOKS AT THE SPRINGS.—A correspondent of the New York Herald writes to that journal says:

"While at the Virginia White Sulphur Springs, Col. Brooks was quite a lion, having to undergo an introduction to several hundred guests. When leaving he called for his bill, and was informed that his financial matters had been attended to by a guest, and that a private carriage and an escort awaited him without. The ladies waved their handkerchiefs in honor of South Carolina and her 'chivalrous representative.'"

MR. BROOKS AT THE SPRINGS.—A correspondent of the New York Herald writes to that journal says:

"While at the Virginia White Sulphur Springs, Col. Brooks was quite a lion, having to undergo an introduction to several hundred guests. When leaving he called for his bill, and was informed that his financial matters had been attended to by a guest, and that a private carriage and an escort awaited him without. The ladies waved their handkerchiefs in honor of South Carolina and her 'chivalrous representative.'"

MR. BROOKS AT THE SPRINGS.—A correspondent of the New York Herald writes to that journal says:

"While at the Virginia White Sulphur Springs, Col. Brooks was quite a lion, having to undergo an introduction to several hundred guests. When leaving he called for his bill, and was informed that his financial matters had been attended to by a guest, and that a private carriage and an escort awaited him without. The ladies waved their handkerchiefs in honor of South Carolina and her 'chivalrous representative.'"

MR. BROOKS AT THE SPRINGS.—A correspondent of the New York Herald writes to that journal says:

"While at the Virginia White Sulphur Springs, Col. Brooks was quite a lion, having to undergo an introduction to several hundred guests. When leaving he called for his bill, and was informed that his financial matters had been attended to by a guest, and that a private carriage and an escort awaited him without. The ladies waved their handkerchiefs in